

CITY OF VANCOUVERREGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

A Regular Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, April 22, 1975, in the Council Chamber, commencing at 2.00 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Phillips
Aldermen Bird, Bowers, Boyce, Cowie,
Harcourt, Kennedy, Marzari,
Rankin, Sweeney and Volrich.
(Alderman Volrich arrived at 3.30 p.m.)

CLERK TO THE COUNCIL: D.H. Little.

PRAYER

The proceedings in the Council Chamber were opened with prayer.

'IN CAMERA' MEETING

Council was advised that there were matters to be considered 'In Camera' later this day.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney

SECONDED by Ald. Bird

THAT the minutes of the Regular Council Meeting of April 15, 1975, with the exception of the 'In Camera' portion, be adopted, after amending the density for Senior Citizens' Housing from 15 units per acre to 50 (fifty) units per acre, as contained in the resolution re Langara Lands on page 12.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Clerk advised that he had already corrected the records to show Alderman Volrich being excused from voting re the Langara Lands resolution.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

MOVED by Ald. Bird,

SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney

THAT the Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Phillips in the Chair.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

D E L E G A T I O N S

Neighbourhood Pub - 1403 Commercial Drive
Petition Plebiscite Result
Report III - Clause 1.

With the permission of Council, Mr. Ragona, Counsel for Mr. Maglio, addressed the meeting on the matter of Mr. Maglio's application for a neighbourhood pub at 1403 Commercial Drive. The subject is contained in a report of the Standing Committee on Community Services, dated April 10, 1975. Mr. Ragona requested the Council to reconsider the decision of the Standing Committee and permit a pub at this location in spite of the result of the plebiscite.

Cont'd.....

Regular Council, April 22, 1975. 2

DELEGATIONS (Cont'd)

Neighbourhood Pub - 1403 Commercial Drive
Petition Plebiscite Result
Report III - Clause 1. (Cont'd)

Mr. Gallo, representing a number of businessmen in the area, filed a petition objecting to the granting of a neighbourhood pub in the area for various reasons.

MOVED by Ald. Rankin

THAT the following recommendation of the Standing Committee on Community Services, be approved:

"THAT the application of Mr. Victor Maglio to operate a neighbourhood pub at 1403 Commercial Drive, not be approved."

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Rezoning Application - 2893 West 41st Avenue,
Lot 6 E½, Lot 7, and AMD Lot 8; Block 9, D.L.2027.
Report A-4, Clause 6.

Mr. N. Divinsky, representing the University Building Society, with the permission of Council, spoke regarding his organization's application to rezone Lot 6 E½, Lot 7, and AMD Lot 8; Block 9; D.L.2027, for the purpose of constructing a co-operative housing development.

A model of the project was displayed and Mr. Divinsky commented on the City Manager's report.

Mr. Divinsky urged the Council to refer the matter to a Public Hearing.

MOVED by Ald. Cowie

THAT the rezoning application, as described in the Manager's report of April 18, 1975, be referred to a Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Issuance of Additional
Taxi Licenses

Vancouver City Council, on April 8, 1975, when considering a Vehicles for Hire report on the above matter, deferred consideration pending the hearing of delegations from the Vancouver Taxi Owners Association and the Vancouver Taxi Driver Employees Association.

On April 15, 1975, Council heard delegations from the Vancouver Taxi Owners Association and the Vancouver Taxi Driver Employees Association and resolved:

"THAT consideration of the Board's recommendations in this matter be deferred to the next meeting of Council on April 22, 1975."

Cont'd.

Regular Council, April 22, 1975.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Issuance of Additional
Taxi Licenses. (Cont'd)

In considering this matter, the Council noted a memorandum from the Mayor, dated April 17, 1975, in which he recommended that changes be made to recommendations 1 and 2 of the Vehicles for Hire Board's report.

MOVED by Ald. Bowers
THAT

1. Twenty-five (25) licenses be issued to drivers with more than two years experience in Vancouver who hold a current taxi driver's license and do not own any interest in any other cab or cabs.

2. The price per license issued be \$15,000.

3. The method of allocation be as follows:

8 licenses to drivers of Black Top Cabs
8 licenses to drivers of Yellow Cabs
5 licenses to drivers of MacLure's Cabs
3 licenses to drivers of Advance Cabs
1 license to drivers of Forum Taxi,

on the understanding that these dispatch companies agree to take this particular number into their companies, subject to the terms of their Company Agreements, and to give new licensees full access to all facilities of said company.

4. The following be the procedure for issuing the licenses:

(a) Applicants to submit a letter of application to the Department of Permits and Licenses prior to June 15, 1975.

(b) Each application to be countersigned or otherwise certified by one of the dispatch companies.

(c) The Vehicles for Hire Board to conduct a draw as soon as possible from those submitted in the following order:

- (i) 8 licenses to drivers certified by Black Top Cabs
- (ii) 8 licenses to drivers certified by Yellow Cabs
- (iii) 5 licenses to drivers certified by MacLure's Cabs
- (iv) 3 licenses to drivers certified by Advance Cabs
- (v) 1 license to drivers certified by Forum Taxi

(d) Successful applicants to have new taxi in operation within 90 days of the draw.

5. The City Manager report back to the Vehicles for Hire Board in six months time on the implementation of the foregoing recommendations and their effect upon the taxi industry.

- CARRIED

(Alderman Rankin was opposed to Clauses 1 and 2)

MOVED by Ald. Kennedy

THAT the Vehicles for Hire Board review, and report to Council on a regulation whereby the public cannot 'flag down' taxis in the City of Vancouver.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, April 22, 1975.

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS

Appointment to U.B.C.M. Executive

The Council noted a letter from the Mayor, dated April 15, 1975 wherein he recommended that Alderman Volrich be nominated as the Vancouver representative on the U.B.C.M. Executive.

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt

THAT the foregoing recommendation of the Mayor be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

North Fraser Harbour Commission
- Joint Study.

Under date of April 17, 1975 the Mayor submitted the following letter:

"Last year there was a report from the Director of Finance indicating that the North Fraser Harbour Commission had reported reserves of close to \$600,000. These reserves were earmarked by the Commission for such things as harbour development. In the event that the Harbour Commission winds up, any surplus belongs to the municipalities of Burnaby, Richmond and Vancouver.

The North Fraser Harbour Commission is considering shifting over to a new Act, the 1964 Federal Act, from the present Act which dates back to 1913. Legally, this shift may constitute a winding up of the affairs under the 1913 Act. Therefore, legally, the municipalities might be entitled to some surplus funds.

On this basis, the Mayors of the three municipalities were authorized to negotiate with the North Fraser Harbour Commission. We have reached a compromise agreement on the use of some of the present reserves and possible future reserves. This agreement is expressed in a letter dated April 9th from the North Fraser Harbour Commissioner which goes as follows:

"I refer to discussions held at a Meeting on Wednesday, February 26, 1975, between Mayor A. Phillips of the City of Vancouver, Mayor T.W. Constable of the Corporation of the District of Burnaby, Mayor G.J. Blair of the Corporation of the Township of Richmond and members of The North Fraser Harbour Commissioners.

On behalf of the Commissioners, I am pleased to confirm that, in addition to the amount of \$100,000.00 that they have already allocated in their current Capital Works Program for "Engineering and Planning Studies for Recreational and Environmental Aspects of Harbour Development and Grants Towards the Same", they agreed in principle to the request of the Mayors for the allocation of additional funds up to a total of \$200,000.00 over the next three to five year period, for the purpose of joining with the City of Vancouver, the Corporation of the District of Burnaby and the Corporation of the Township of Richmond for future mutually agreed upon Recreational and Environmental Programs and Developments in the North Fraser Harbour in accordance with the guidelines and recommendations to be established in the North Fraser Recreational Study.

Cont'd....

Regular Council, April 22, 1975.

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS (Cont'd)

North Fraser Harbour Commission
- Joint Study. (Cont'd)

I am also pleased to confirm that the Commissioners have allocated the amount of \$9,000.00 as their share of the cost of the North Fraser Recreational Study."

The Study referred to in the letter is the \$60,000 Study which the three municipal Councils have authorized in co-operation with the Provincial Government. The Provincial Government has agreed to pay \$30,000 and the three Mayors agreed to recommend to their respective Councils that each municipality pay \$7,000. Along with the \$9,000 agreed to in the letter from the North Fraser Harbour Commissioners dated April 9th, this brings the total to \$60,000.

I therefore RECOMMEND that the Council ratify this agreement with the North Fraser Harbour Commission and agree to allocate \$7,000 towards the Joint Study. "

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt

THAT the foregoing recommendation of the Mayor be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Application for General Liquor License
Pacific National Exhibition.

The Council noted a letter, dated April 16, 1975, from the Pacific National Exhibition, advising that it is seeking a general license for those areas used as exhibitor lounges in various buildings of the P.N.E. The Liquor Control Board have asked the P.N.E. that it obtain Council's approval for these licenses.

MOVED by Ald. Bird

THAT approval be given to the Pacific National Exhibition's request to apply to the Liquor Control Board for a general license for exhibitors' lounges in various buildings at the Pacific National Exhibition.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- - - - -

Council Members' Attendance at
C.F.M.M. Conference.

The Mayor referred to a resolution of Council at its last meeting authorizing three members of Council and the Mayor to attend the forthcoming C.F.M.M. Conference. The Mayor requested that Council add an additional member to the delegation.

MOVED by Ald. Boyce

THAT authority be given for the Mayor to add one more delegate to attend the forthcoming C.F.M.M. Conference and the previous motion of Council be amended accordingly.

- CARRIED

(Ald. Bowers, Marzari and Rankin opposed)

Regular Council, April 22, 1975. 6

Skip Council - Change.

It was noted that there is no Council Meeting scheduled for June 10, 1975, and as a number of Council members will be attending the C.F.M.M. Conference from June 1 - June 5, 1975, the Mayor recommended that the Skip Council Meeting be changed to June 3, 1975, and a Regular Meeting be held on June 10, 1975.

MOVED by Ald. Cowie

THAT there be no meeting of Council on June 3, 1975, but a regular meeting of Council be held on June 10, 1975, and further that the motion of Council of January 8, 1975 on the subject of Council meetings for the year, be amended accordingly.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(Alderman Sweeney advised that he would be unable to be present at the Council Meeting on June 10, 1975)

- - - - -

CITY MANAGER'S REPORTS

A. MANAGER'S GENERAL REPORT
APRIL 18, 1975

Works & Utility Matters
(April 18, 1975)

Tender No. 57-75-3, Supply of Extra
Strength Clay Sewer Pipe and Fittings
(Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager, contained in this clause, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Building & Planning Matters
(April 18, 1975)

The Council considered this report which contains six Clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: 2624 Waterloo Street
- Cl. 2: Cedar Cottage N.I.P. Allocation - Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood Services, 4065 Victoria Drive
- Cl. 3: Kitsilano and Cedar Cottage N.I.P. Allocations: Consultants
- Cl. 4: 3145 West 53rd Avenue - Development Permit Application No. 69556
- Cl. 5: Demolitions in Kitsilano
- Cl. 6: Rezoning Application - 2893 West 41st Avenue

The Council took action as follows:

2624 Waterloo Street,
(Clause 1)

In considering this clause, the Council was advised that a request has been received from residents in the area of 2624 Waterloo Street, wishing to address Council objecting to the use of this property as an illegal suite.

MOVED by Ald. Bowers

THAT the application by Mrs. Cotsakis for consideration under the Hardship Policy, be refused, and the Director of Permits and Licenses be instructed to enforce the regulations of the Zoning and Development By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, April 22, 1975.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORTS (Cont'd)

Building and Planning Matters
(April 18, 1975) (Cont'd)

Cedar Cottage. N.I.P. Allocation -
Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood Services,
4065 Victoria Drive. (Clause 2)

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager, contained in this clause, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Kitsilano and Cedar Cottage N.I.P.
Allocations: Consultants. (Clause 3)

When dealing with this matter the Council considered each recommendation in the clause separately, as follows:

MOVED by Ald. Bowers

THAT recommendation 'A' of the Director of Planning be approved.

- (carried)

MOVED by Ald. Kennedy (in amendment)

THAT the foregoing motion of Alderman Bowers be amended whereby only half of the total expenditure be approved for a policy program on the allocation of space, etc.

- LOST

(Ald. Bird, Boyce, Bowers, Cowie, Harcourt, Marzari,
Rankin, Sweeney and the Mayor opposed)

The amendment having lost, the motion of Alderman Bowers was put and CARRIED.

(Ald. Boyce, Kennedy and Sweeney opposed)

MOVED by Ald. Bowers

THAT recommendation 'B' of the Director of Planning be approved after amendment as follows:

"The expenditure from the Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood Improvement Program budget of a sum not exceeding \$25,000 (twenty-five thousand dollars), to retain a consultant to work with the City Engineer and to report to the Board of Parks and Recreation and the Director of Planning on the feasibility of renovating Trout Lake for swimming purposes."

Underlining denotes
amendment.

- (carried)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin (in amendment)

THAT the foregoing motion of Alderman Bowers be amended whereby the consultant's terms of reference be expanded to report on the feasibility of a covered or uncovered swimming pool in the area, at no increase in the consultant's fee.

- LOST

(Ald. Boyce, Bird, Bowers, Cowie, Kennedy, Sweeney and
the Mayor opposed)

The amendment having lost, the motion of Alderman Bowers was put and CARRIED.

(Ald. Boyce, Kennedy and Sweeney opposed)

Regular Council, April 22, 1975. 8

CITY MANAGER'S REPORTS (Cont'd)

Building and Planning Matters
(April 18, 1975) (Cont'd)

Clauses 4 and 5.

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager contained in Clause 4 be approved, and clause 5 be received for information.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Clause 6.

For Council action on Clause 6, see page 2.

Fire and Traffic Matters
(April 18, 1975)

Street Vending
(Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager contained in this clause, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Finance Matters
(April 18, 1975)

The Council considered this report which contains ten Clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Tender Awards
- Cl. 2: MacMillan Bloedel Place - VanDusen Botanical Gardens
- Cl. 3: Investment Matters (Various Funds) January 1975
- Cl. 4: Local Improvements - Special Relief
- Cl. 5: Furniture for new Planning Assistant Position
- Cl. 6: Pier Group Mural
- Cl. 7: Plumbing Inspectors - Progress Report
- Cl. 8: CFMM Conference - Resolution
- Cl. 9: Fire Department - Staffing
- Cl. 10: Loan for Extension to Exhibition Racetrack Grandstand and Clubhouse

The Council took action as follows:

Clauses 1 - 5 (inclusive)

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt

THAT clauses 1 and 2 be received for information and the recommendations of the City Manager, contained in clauses 3, 4 and 5, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Pier Group Mural
(Clause 6)

MOVED by Ald. Bowers

THAT no action be taken on the request by Pier Group Mural Painting Company to paint a mural on the building at 1530 West 8th Avenue.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, April 22, 1975.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORTS (Cont'd)

(At this point in the proceedings Alderman Volrich arrived at the meeting.)

Finance Matters

(April 18, 1975) (Cont'd)

Plumbing Inspectors -

Progress Report. (Clause 7)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager, contained in this clause, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

During consideration of the foregoing matter Alderman Kennedy requested that the City Manager provide a rough estimate on the cost of sprinkler systems already installed in buildings in the Downtown core and those still to be installed. The Alderman also requested available statistics on the number of fire deaths in the buildings involved, over the last twenty years. The Mayor so instructed.

C.F.M.M. Conference -

Resolution. (Clause 8)

MOVED by Ald. Bird

THAT the proposed resolution in this clause be not submitted to the forthcoming C.F.M.M. Conference.

- CARRIED

(Ald. Bowers, Kennedy and Volrich opposed)

Clauses 9 and 10.

MOVED by Ald. Bird

THAT the recommendations of the City Manager contained in clauses 9 and 10, be approved.

Regular Council, April 22, 1975 10

The Council recessed at 3:50 p.m., and following an 'In Camera' meeting in the Mayor's Office, reconvened in open Council in the Council Chamber at 4:20 p.m.

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Property Matters
(April 18, 1975)

Acquisition for Knight Street
Widening - 4349 Knight Street
(Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,
THAT the recommendation of the City Manager contained in
this Clause be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

B. Britannia Community Services Centre -
Advance Against 1975 Operating Budget

The City Manager submitted the following report of the Director of Finance under date of April 17, 1975:

"In December, 1974, City Council approved interim operating funds for the months of January and February, 1975, for the Britannia Community Centre Society, and in February 1975 approved further interim operating funds for the months of March and April 1975, pending receipt of the 1975 Operating Budget of the Centre. It was hoped at that time that Council could deal with and approve the budget and operating structure for the Centre prior to the end of April 1975.

The total Britannia report, involving segments from the Britannia Society, the Park Board, the Library Board, Social Planning Department, Finance Department, and City Manager's office will not be ready for Council consideration until hopefully sometime in May. It is immensely complicated and it could be well into June before the Finance and Administration Committee and Council have considered and approved the structure. The preparation of the report is still proceeding on a high priority basis on the part of all persons involved.

I propose that the City advance further interim operating funds to the Britannia Society for the administration of the Centre, for the months of May and June 1975. Mr. Michael Clague, the Executive Director, has submitted an interim budget for May and June largely based on his proposed 1975 budget. The major changes from the March and April interim operating position is the addition of a secretary, which can be expected to be approved in the final structure, and the necessity for cost sharing with the School Board regarding custodial staff, utilities, grounds maintenance, security, etc. One item was not appropriate on an interim basis and I have deleted it from the recommendation I am proposing to Council.

Attached is the detail of the interim budget recommended for May and June.

All of these costs will have to be properly accounted for by the Society under the proposed operating agreement with the City, that will be the subject of Council consideration shortly.

Recommendation

It is RECOMMENDED therefore that the sum of \$26,007.00 be advanced to the Centre to cover administrative costs for the months of May and June, 1975, pending consideration of the 1975 operating budget of the Britannia Community Services Centre, by the Finance and Administration Committee.

The City Manager RECOMMENDS approval of the recommendation of the Director of Finance. "

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,
THAT the recommendation of the City Manager contained in
the foregoing report be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, April 22, 1975 11

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

C. Business Access:
Hudson Street Bridge

The City Manager submitted for consideration, the following report of the City Engineer dated March 21, 1975:

"Introduction

In November, 1967, Council established as policy that the City would not contribute to the cost of the Hudson Street Bridge. This policy has been affirmed on several occasions as recently as December, 1972, and has been specified to mean no financial contribution to the cost of grade street improvements occasioned by the crossing.

As a result of the arrangement by which bridge ramps are accommodated in a median on a rebuilt portion of S.W. Marine Drive, businesses on the south side of Marine Drive are served by one-way eastbound traffic only (see attached sketch). Temporary access during construction has been maintained, but once the bridge is completed (currently estimated at August, 1975), it will be difficult to reach these businesses from the east via Marine Drive.

Alternate Access

Several businesses immediately west of Hudson Street have requested that a proposed one-way turn-around in the median under the bridge ramps be widened and converted to two-way operation (see attached letter). This would greatly facilitate access from the east to approximately 9 businesses, although the remaining 8 businesses to the west of this point would not be helped. (it should be noted that of the three taxable parcels of land gaining improved access, two are owned by Gary H. Hackett, and the third is operated by G.H. Hackett and George Hackett Ltd.).

The one-way turn-around was originally intended to relieve the problem of crossing Marine Drive where this is blocked by ramps, and to reduce possible U-turns at the Hudson/Marine intersection or the use of Hudson as a northbound route to 70th Avenue. During our review of the detailed design of the approaches, the possibility of converting it to two-way was not considered because of its proximity to the Hudson Street median crossing, and the relatively small number of businesses involved. However, in view of the request by property owners Council may wish to consider the changes proposed. The Engineering Department has no strong objections to the change.

The widening would be feasible from the point of view of curvature, visibility and so on. Vertical clearance would be reduced to about 13'9", which, while it will accommodate most trucks using the street system, will require a warning sign for extra-high loads. The Ministry of Transport has declined to carry out the widening on the basis that the City approved plans showing the one-way turn-around, and because a small amount of the work has already been undertaken. The additional cost to remove a short piece of curb and widen the roadway is estimated to be \$2,300.00.

Should Council approve the expenditure of \$2,300 to widen the one-way turn-around to two-way operation, as an exception to the established policy of not contributing to the cost of the Hudson Street Bridge and approaches, funds are available in 'Unappropriated 148/7915 Landscaping Islands and Boulevards'.

The City Manager submits the report of the City Engineer to Council for CONSIDERATION. "

(Sketch referred to on file
in City Clerk's Office)

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT Council approve an expenditure of \$2,300 to widen the one-way turn-around in the median under the Hudson Street bridge ramps to two-way operation, the funds to be appropriated from 'Unappropriated 148/7915 Landscaping Islands and Boulevards'.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, April 22, 1975 12

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

D. B.C. Assessment Authority Levy
on 1975 Tax Bills

The City Manager submitted the following report of the Director of Finance dated April 21, 1975:

"We have received the levying bylaw from the B.C. Assessment Authority for 1975. This bylaw levies a rate of 1.17 mills on the taxable value of property in the Province for hospital purposes, for the purpose of providing a portion of the funds necessary for the operations of the B.C. Assessment Authority. The Province provides the balance of funds. I do not know at this point what the breakdown is between the funds provided by the Province and those provided through the mill rate levy.

I have had a chance now to refine the figures comparing 1974 and 1975 costs to the taxpayers in Vancouver. This has changed the figures that appeared in the newspapers to some extent.

We now consider that the costs of the Assessment function to the City of Vancouver in 1974 (on a full year basis, recognizing that the Authority assumed the last half of 1974's costs) equalled \$880,000.00. These were the direct budget costs and do not include any value for space occupied or for Data Processing costs. We are now charging B.C. Assessment Authority rent for the space they occupy in the City Hall plus their Data Processing costs. In 1974 the average cost for single family residence worked out to \$5.00 per single family residence based on the \$880,000.00.

For 1975 our cost would not have been \$880,000.00 but would have been higher by whatever salary increase is eventually arrived at. The Assessment Authority levy works out to approximately \$2,250,000.00 on the taxpayers in Vancouver and is distributed on the basis of hospital assessments rather than general purposes assessments as in 1974. The revised cost per single family residence for 1975, using the \$2,250,000.00 figure is \$10.80 per single family residence.

It is somewhat difficult to pinpoint the reasons for this rather large increase but some of them would be as follows:

1. the Assessment Authority received salary increases and will be receiving further ones when they negotiate their 1975 contract,
2. historically, the highest level of assessment to market is in the lower mainland here where the quality of assessment has been the best. This means that because the Assessment Authority levy is on all assessed property in the Province, that costs would be shifted from low assessed value to market value ratio areas into the higher ratio areas such as Vancouver. This situation will presumably reverse itself when the Assessment Authority is able to assess all property in the Province at 100% of market value.

The above information is submitted for the information of Council.

The City Manager submits the above report of the Director of Finance for Council's INFORMATION. "

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the foregoing report of the City Manager be received for information;

FURTHER THAT the Provincial Government be requested to provide Council with an explanation of the increased cost of the assessment function to the City of Vancouver in 1975.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

E. Jericho Buildings

Council on October 29, 1974, considered a letter from the Vancouver Resources Board supporting the use of all Jericho buildings for sports and recreation, and that no demolition be undertaken without consulting all the groups who have requested use of the buildings. Council passed the following resolution:

"THAT the Park Board be requested to report to Council on its intended use of the Jericho buildings and that no demolition of these buildings be undertaken prior to receipt by Council of the Park Board's report."

cont'd....

Regular Council, April 22, 1975 13

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Jericho Buildings
(continued)

The City Manager submitted a report from the City Clerk dated April 21, 1975, informing Council of an excerpt from the Minutes of the Park Board meeting of March 3, 1975, dealing with disposition of various Jericho buildings.

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,

THAT the report of the City Manager be received for information.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

F. 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates

It was agreed to defer this report until later this day when Council will be considering Report VII - Standing Committee on Finance and Administration dated April 17, 1975.

I. Report of Standing Committee
on Finance & Administration,
April 10, 1975

The Council considered this report which contains four Clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: School Board Tax Interest Earnings
- Cl. 2: Sewerage Facilities Assistance Act
- Cl. 3: Carpet Program for City Hall
- Cl. 4: 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates

The Council took action as follows:

Clauses 1 to 4

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT Clause 1 be received for information and the recommendations of the Committee contained in Clauses 2, 3 and 4 be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

II. Report of Standing Committee
on Housing and Environment,
April 10, 1975

The Council considered this report which contains four Clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Standards of Maintenance By-law
- Cl. 2: Collingwood United Church
- Cl. 3: Housing Site at 2nd Avenue and Wallace Street
- Cl. 4: Housing Site for Public Housing Corporation

The Council took action as follows:

Standards of Maintenance
By-law (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,

THAT recommendations A to E of the Committee contained in this Clause be approved after amendment to recommendations C and E to read as follows:

- "C. That the Director of Permits and Licenses report to Council on appropriate amendments to the Minimum Standards By-law so that costs for alternate accommodation while work is being done on one's premises are charged back to the owner.

cont'd....

Regular Council, April 22, 1975 14

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Report of Standing Committee
on Housing and Environment,
April 10, 1975 (continued)

Standards of Maintenance By-law
(Clause 1) (continued)

- E. That the Director of Legal Services report to Council on appropriate amendments to the Lodging House By-law with respect to requiring that essential services such as furnaces, hot water tanks, stoves, water and fuel pipes, gas lines, etc., be restored within forty-eight hours."

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(Underlining denotes
amendments)

Collingwood United Church
(Clause 2)

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,

THAT the recommendations of the Committee contained in this Clause be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Housing Site at 2nd Avenue and
Wallace Street (Clause 3)

The Chairman requested and received permission to amend the last paragraph contained in this Clause of the Committee's report to read as follows:

"The Chairman advised that this site at 2nd and Wallace was being held as a potential site for consideration for development of family housing by the City Housing Corporation."

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt

THAT this clause be received for information.

FURTHER THAT the future use of this site at 2nd Avenue and Wallace Street be referred back to the Standing Committee on Housing and Environment to determine the most suitable form of housing development for this site, for report back to Council.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(Underlining denotes
amendment.)

Housing Site for Public Housing
Corporation (Clause 4)

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,

THAT recommendations A to C of the Committee contained in this Clause be approved after amendment to recommendation A to read as follows:

"A. That the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be instructed to report back on possible housing sites similar in size to the Oppenheimer I site in the Downtown Eastside Area, to an 'In Camera' meeting of this Committee as soon as possible."

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(Underlining denotes
amendment)

Regular Council, April 22, 1975 15

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

III. Report of Standing Committee
on Community Services,
April 10, 1975

The Council considered this report which contains three
Clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Neighbourhood Pub - 1403 Commercial Drive -
Petition Plebiscite Result
- Cl. 2: Vancouver Native Indian Friendship Centre
- Cl. 3: Application for Civic Grant: Grandview Youth
Recreation Program (Cedar Cottage-Kensington
Youth Services Committee)

The Council took action as follows:

Neighbourhood Pub - 1403 Commercial
Drive - Petition Plebiscite Result
(Clause 1)

For Council action on this Clause, see page 1.

Clauses 2 and 3

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT Clause 2 be received for information and recommendations
A to D of the Committee contained in Clause 3 be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(Recommendation A contained in Clause 3 was Carried
by the Required Majority)

IV. Report of Standing Committee
on Planning and Development,
April 10, 1975

Downtown Zoning, West End Zoning, Central
Area Planning and A Development Control
Process (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the recommendation of the Committee contained in Clause
1(A) be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the Committee's amendment to the item on Support Staff
contained in Clause 1(B) be deleted;

FURTHER THAT the recommendation of the Committee contained
in Clause 1(B) be approved;

AND FURTHER THAT the matter of administrative assistance and
support staff for the Development Permit Board be referred to the
City Manager for report back to the Committee.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the recommendation of the Committee contained in Clause
1(C) be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, April 22, 1975 16

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

V. Clients' Committee re Space Requirements of Vancouver Police Department April 15, 1975

Council had for consideration a report from the Clients' Committee re Space Requirements of Vancouver Police Department dated April 15, 1975, on the renovations of the Public Safety Building.

The report discussed various renovation options open to Council on this matter. It was noted that these options which have been recommended by the User Committee will range in cost from \$6 to \$8 million according to the Architects. The report concluded with a number of recommendations which Council considered and took action on as follows:

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT recommendations 1 to 3 of the Clients' Committee be approved after amendment to recommendation 3 as follows:

- "3. That the City Engineer, in consultation with the Downtown Parking Corporation, report as soon as possible on public parking requirements in the area of the Public Safety Building and cost estimates of meeting such requirements."

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was agreed to amend recommendation 4 to read as follows:

- "4. That Options 'A'2 and 'B'3 of the Harrison/Kiss report of February 21, 1975, be pursued and the Architects be instructed to proceed towards working drawings for renovations to the existing Public Safety Building only and that costs be kept within the lowest estimates reported.

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,

THAT consideration of recommendation 4 of the Clients' Committee, as amended, be deferred until the User Committee re Space Requirements of Vancouver Police Department arranges an information meeting and tour of the Public Safety Building for Council members.

- CARRIED

(Alderman Kennedy opposed)

(Underlining denotes amendments)

During discussion of the foregoing matter, Alderman Boyce requested that the Police Chief arrange a report reference on the community policing project for an evening meeting of Council. The Mayor so directed.

VI. Report of Special Committee on the Queen Elizabeth Theatre - Restaurant Lease, April 15, 1975

The Special Committee on the Queen Elizabeth Theatre - Restaurant Lease submitted the following report dated April 15, 1975:

- " On December 10, 1974, City Council appointed a Committee to renegotiate a new lease and license with Adrina Holdings Ltd., with respect to the Queen Elizabeth Theatre restaurant and liquor lease.

Your Committee has negotiated new terms for the Q.E.T. restaurant lease, which have been accepted by the current lessee, Adrina Holdings Ltd. The principal revisions to the present lease are as follows:

cont'd....

Regular Council, April 22, 1975 17

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Report of Special Committee on the
Queen Elizabeth Theatre - Restaurant
Lease (continued)

1. The lease shall be of two-year duration, from May 1, 1975. The present lease is of three-year duration.
2. Restaurant rental to be \$1,400 per month, plus 10% of gross restaurant sales in excess of \$250,000. The present rental is \$1,075 per month.

Additional rental of 6% of gross food sales in the Theatre and Playhouse to be continued.

3. The lessee to operate the theatre bars according to a formula which incorporates an 8¢ per drink profit to the lessee. The profit guarantee of \$12,000 per annum remains as at present.

The Special Committee on the Queen Elizabeth Theatre - Restaurant Lease RECOMMENDS that the City approve the new lease with Adrina Holdings Ltd., and the Director of Legal Services be instructed to draw up a lease based on the foregoing revisions. "

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the recommendation of the Special Committee contained in the foregoing report be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VII. Report of Standing Committee
on Finance & Administration,
April 17, 1975

(i) 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates.

MOVED by Ald. Kennedy

THAT a Special Committee comprised of the Chairman of the Standing Committee on Finance and Administration, City Manager and the Director of Finance be established to review Departmental budgets and to take whatever action they deem necessary to effect a further \$1 million reduction in the proposed 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates.

- LOST

(Ald. Bird, Bowers, Cowie, Harcourt, Marzari, Rankin, Sweeney, Volrich and the Mayor opposed)

AMENDED SEE PAGE.....

MOVED by Ald. Volrich

THAT recommendations 1 to 5 of the Committee, contained on page 2 of the report, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(ii) Appeals.

Park Board - New and non-recurring items.

MOVED by Ald. Boyce

THAT the sum of \$12,000 be added for upgrading standards of maintenance of baseball fields.

- LOST

(Ald. Bird, Bowers, Rankin, Sweeney, Volrich and the Mayor opposed)

Cont'd....

Regular Council, April 22, 1975. 18

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (Cont'd)

Report of Standing Committee
on Finance and Administration,
(April 17, 1975) (Cont'd)

1975 Revenue Budget Estimates (Cont'd)

Park Board.

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,

THAT Council approve an amount of \$7,350 for the Elderly Citizens Program in Fairview, as proposed by the Park Board.

FURTHER THAT this sum be allocated from Contingency Reserve.

- CARRIED

(Ald. Sweeney and Volrich opposed)

MOVED by Ald. Volrich

THAT recommendations A to E of the Committee as contained on pages 3 and 4 of the report, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

F. 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates

Further consideration was given to the following City Manager's report, dated April 21, 1975:

"In accordance with requirements contained in the Vancouver Charter, the Director of Finance submits the summary of the Revenue Budget Estimates for the year 1975, which is attached as Schedule "A" and which:

1. gives affect to the adjustments made during budget reviews and as recommended by the Committee on Finance and Administration on April 10th and 17th, and the final balancing adjustments made by the Director of Finance.
2. is based on a tax rate of 17.28 mills for general tax purposes as recommended by the Committee on Finance and Administration on April 17th.
3. provides for \$3,290,218 of temporary borrowing from reserves, to be repaid from the municipal share of natural gas revenues as recommended by the Committee on Finance and Administration on April 17th.

The adjustments to the 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates, as originally submitted by Civic Departments and Boards, which produced a balanced budget at a tax rate of 17.28 mills, are summarized below:

1975 Revenue Estimates

Estimated revenues as originally submitted, at a tax rate for general purposes of 14.90 mills	\$118,749,750
Add: Increase in general tax levy at 17.28 mills, net after Court of Revision reductions	9,025,467
: Temporary borrowing from reserves, to be replaced from the additional Provincial contribution	3,290,218
: Provincial Govt. - Municipal Aid Grant, the amount of increase as per the revised formula	431,970
: Increased receipts in lieu of taxes, to reflect the revised mill rate	287,838
: Increased business tax assessment	216,000
: Revised estimate of various fines and fees revenue	<u>350,000</u>
	132,351,243
Less: Sinking Fund Surplus, revised to actual	\$151,626
: Water Rates Stabilization Reserved, revised to actual	<u>56,805</u>
	<u>208,431</u>
Total 1975 Revenue Estimates as Revised	<u>\$132,142,812</u>

Cont'd..

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (Cont'd)1975 Revenue Budget
Estimates (Cont'd)1975 Expenditure Estimates

Estimated expenditures as originally submitted	\$131,848,049
Less: Minor errors corrected after submission	<u>48,124</u>
Estimated expenditures, as corrected	131,799,925
Add: Increased Contingency Reserve provision for salary and wage increases and Five Year Plan publicity and voting costs	3,960,000
: Additional Police and Fire manpower, not included in the original estimates	<u>488,000</u>
	136,247,925
Less: Budget reductions during reviews, as revised \$3,636,886	
Less appealed items approved by the Finance Committee	<u>118,023</u>
	3,518,863
: Transfer of fire apparatus to 1975 Supplementary Capital Program	275,250
: Adjustment of estimated grants to actual	195,880
: Reduced provision for capital purposes, after adjustments by the Court of Revision	51,000
: Reduced Contingency Reserve, to balance budget after all adjustments	<u>64,120</u>
	<u>4,105,113</u>
Total 1975 Expenditure Estimates as Revised	<u>\$132,142,812</u>

Supplementary InformationGeneral Tax Levy

1. The tax rate required to produce a balance budget in 1975, after amending the Revenue and Expenditure Estimates for adjustments recommended by the Committee on Finance and Administration is 17.28 mills. This is an increase of 2.38 mills or approximately 16% over 1974.
2. A tax rate of 17.28 mills on the hypothetical average single family residence amounts to \$391 for an increase of \$54. over 1974.
3. The new B.C. Assessment Authority levy will amount to about \$10.80 on the average single family residence compared with \$5.00 in 1974, previously included in the general tax levy. A separate report on this matter is being submitted to Council today.

Temporary Borrowing from Reserves

\$3,290,218 was temporarily borrowed from reserves (\$1,500,000 Revenue Surplus, \$1,790,218 U.S. Premium Reserve) to cover the 1975 budget deficit, at a tax rate of 17.28 mills for general purposes. These reserves are to be repaid from the additional Provincial contribution to Municipalities re sharing of natural gas revenues.

Municipal Share of Natural Gas Revenue

The amount of funds to be received from this source in 1975 should be announced shortly and will be reported to Council.

Appealed Items Recommended for Approval by
the Finance Committee

Items totalling \$791,723 which were deleted from the budget estimates of departments and boards were appealed. The Finance Committee has recommended for approval appealed items amounting to \$118,023. The details of these items were included in the Committee on Finance and Administration reports to Council.

Grants

The appropriation for grants was estimated at \$1,220,408 in the original report to Council. This appropriation was reduced by \$195,880 to \$1,024,528 to cover actual grants approved.

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

1975 Revenue Budget Estimates
(continued)

Britannia Community Services Centre

A sum of \$260,000 was included in the 1975 Expenditure Estimates for administration and building maintenance and a further sum of \$100,000 was included in Contingency Reserve for program expenses (six months).
A separate report will be submitted to Council on this project.

Museums and Planetarium Association

A sum of \$727,000 was included in the 1975 Expenditure Estimates for the City's grant to the Association in accordance with our agreement. This grant is subject to adjustment for 1975 salary increases and will be reported on to Council when the final amount is known.

Provision for Capital Purposes

The provision for capital purposes is based on a levy of 1.50 mills on taxable assessed values for general purposes (same as in 1974) and is the principal source of funding for our Supplementary Capital Program. The original provision of \$6,060,000 was reduced by \$51,000 to \$6,009,000 after adjustments to assessed values by the Court of Revision.

Recommendation

The City Manager and the Director of Finance RECOMMEND approval of the resolution adopting the 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates which are summarized on Schedule "A" attached."

(Schedule 'A' referred to on
file in City Clerk's Office)

MOVED by Ald. Volrich

THAT the recommendation of the City Manager and Director of Finance contained in the foregoing report be approved, and accordingly the resolution, reading as follows, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"THAT WHEREAS the City Manager and the Director of Finance were instructed by Council on March 11, 1975, to review estimated Revenues and Expenditures of the City for the year 1975, with Department Heads and Boards and to report back through the Standing Committee of Council on Finance and Administration;

AND WHEREAS the Standing Committee of Council on Finance and Administration has reviewed reports on the 1975 Estimates prepared by the City Manager and the Director of Finance dated April 10th and 17th, and has recommended certain amendments thereto;

AND WHEREAS the total estimated expenditures of the City to pay all debts and obligations of the City falling due in the year 1975, exclusive of the amounts required by School, the Greater Vancouver Regional Hospital District, the Greater Vancouver Regional District and the British Columbia Assessment Authority purposes and not otherwise provided for, as amended by the Standing Committee of Council on Finance and Administration amount to \$132,142,812;

AND WHEREAS the total estimated expenditure as aforesaid is the sum of the appropriation requests of all Departments and Boards properly supported by detailed analyses of those expenditures and listings of the salaried staff;

AND WHEREAS the total estimated revenue of the City for 1975 from sources other than real property taxes, as amended by the Standing Committee of Council on Finance and Administration, amounts to \$62,921,345;

cont'd....

MANAGER'S AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)1975 Revenue Budget Estimates
(continued)

AND WHEREAS the amount of the General Tax Levy so required is \$69,221,467;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the said estimates, both as to totals and individual items pertaining thereto, of the City Manager as amended by the Standing Committee of Council on Finance and Administration be and the same are hereby adopted by Council."

G.V.R.D. Matters

There were no comments with respect to G.V.R.D. matters insofar as the Regional District meeting for April 30, 1975, is concerned.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
SECONDED by Ald. Bird,
THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BY-LAWS

1. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW NO. 4848,
BEING THE WATER WORKS BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,
SECONDED by Ald. Bowers,
THAT the By-law be introduced and read a first time.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law was read a first time and the Presiding Officer declared the By-law open for discussion and amendments.

There being no amendments, it was

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,
SECONDED by Ald. Bowers,
THAT the By-law be given second and third readings and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW NO. 3792,
BEING THE PROCEDURE BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Kennedy,
SECONDED by Ald. Boyce,
THAT the By-law be introduced and read a first time.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law was read a first time and the Presiding Officer declared the By-law open for discussion and amendments.

cont'd....

Regular Council, April 22, 1975 22

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW NO. 3792, BEING
THE PROCEDURE BY-LAW (continued)

There being no amendments, it was

MOVED by Ald. Kennedy,
SECONDED by Ald. Boyce,

THAT the By-law be given second and third readings and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. BY-LAW TO LEVY A RATE ON PROPERTY TO
RAISE MONIES REQUIRED TO BE PAID TO
THE GREATER VANCOUVER REGIONAL HOSPITAL
DISTRICT

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,
SECONDED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the By-law be introduced and read a first time.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law was read a first time and the Presiding Officer declared the By-law open for discussion and amendments.

There being no amendments, it was

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,
SECONDED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the By-law be given second and third readings and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. BY-LAW TO LEVY RATES ON ALL TAXABLE
PROPERTY ON THE REVISED ASSESSMENT
ROLL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,
SECONDED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the By-law be introduced and read a first time.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law was read a first time and the Presiding Officer declared the By-law open for discussion and amendments.

There being no amendments, it was

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,
SECONDED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the By-law be given second and third readings and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. BY-LAW TO LEVY A RATE ON PROPERTY TO
RAISE MONIES REQUIRED TO BE PAID TO
THE GREATER VANCOUVER REGIONAL DISTRICT

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,
SECONDED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the By-law be introduced and read a first time.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law was read a first time and the Presiding Officer declared the By-law open for discussion and amendments.

cont'd....

Regular Council, April 22, 1975 23

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

BY-LAW TO LEVY A RATE ON PROPERTY TO
MONIES REQUIRED TO BE PAID TO THE GREATER
VANCOUVER REGIONAL DISTRICT (continued)

There being no amendments, it was

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,
SECONDED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the By-law be given second and third readings and the
Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ENQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS

Alderman Marzari -

referred to letters sent by staff
of the Social Planning Department
to the Chairman of the Canadian
Radio-Television Commission
endorsing the application of Pacific
Rim Broadcasting for the Channel 10
Television License.

MOVED by Ald. Marzari,
SECONDED by Ald. Boyce,

THAT the City Clerk immediately send a telegram to the
Chairman of the CRTC advising that neither the City
of Vancouver nor its Social Planning Department have endorsed
the application of Pacific Rim Broadcasting for the Channel 10
Television License.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Alderman Rankin

Parking Exemption Permits
for Provincial Government
Employees.

referred to recent Council action
whereby, for a fee of \$50 per year,
Provincial and Federal Government
employees on government business,
may be granted parking exemption
decals limited to a time period
of one hour. The Department of
Human Resources has advised him
that the one hour parking exemption
is too restrictive and does not
meet the needs of their employees.
The Mayor directed that the City
Manager investigate this matter
for report back.

The Council adjourned at approximately 5.45 p.m.

The foregoing are Minutes of the Regular Council Meeting
of April 22, 1975, adopted as amended on May 6, 1975.

A. Phillips
MAYOR

F. A. Little
CITY CLERK

A-1

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (WORKS - 1)

WORKS & UTILITY MATTERSRECOMMENDATIONS:1. Tender No. 57-75-3, Supply of Extra Strength Clay Sewer Pipe and Fittings

The City Manager submits the following report of the City Engineer and Purchasing Agent:

"On March 17, 1975, tenders were received for the supply and delivery of vitrified clay sewer pipe and fittings.

Tenders were received from 4 firms as follows:

Tender	Total Bid Price *	No. of Items on which no quotations received (total = 82 Tender items)	Approx. value of items on which no prices quoted	Remarks
Flex-Lox Industries	\$367,170.10	2	252.84	Tendered on Clay pipe Meets specifications.
I-XL Industries Ltd.	\$330,423.08	10	4,691.58	Tendered on Clay pipe Not to specifications.
Canadian Johns-Manville Co. Ltd.	\$397,402.96	21	4,406.88	Tendered on Asbestos Cement pipe - Not to specification.
Atlas Asbestos Co.	\$436,191.01	14	5,970.29	Tendered on Asbestos Cement pipe - Not to specification.

* Based on 'local content' price; 5% sales tax is excluded.

A. Flex-Lox Industries Ltd.

This firm tendered on supplying the most complete range of vitrified clay pipe and fittings called for. All products are manufactured in Abbotsford, B.C. in full accordance with City specifications.

B. I.X.L. Industries Ltd.

This firm tendered on supplying a full range of vitrified clay pipe and an almost complete line of vitrified clay fittings, all of which are manufactured in Medicine Hat, Alberta. However, neither the pipe nor the fittings meet City specifications for the following reasons:

- (a) the pipe is unglazed.
- (b) the joint is not satisfactory.

The Flex-Lox pipe is a superior product for the following reasons:

- (a) The joint - Flex-Lox has a factory installed bell and spigot type joint. Installation only requires insertion of the spigot end into the coupling on the pipe. The I.X.L. Industries coupling is a band type, which requires two screws per joint to be tightened to a specified degree. This method is far less foolproof than the bell and spigot style joint. In addition to this, the Flex-Lox joint will carry shear loads, whereas the I.X.L. joint will not.

Cont'd . . .

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (WORKS - 2)

Clause 1 Cont'd

(b) The glazing - the glazing on the pipe and fittings, gives the pipe a much smoother surface and better flow characteristics than the I.X.L. Industries pipe. Also, the glazing makes the pipe much more resistant to chemical attack.

(c) Labour savings - Substantial savings in labour are a direct result of the more easily installed Flex-Lox joint and the longer pipe lengths. (Flex-Lox pipes are approximately 30% longer).

(d) Delivery - a large stock of I.X.L. pipe and fittings would have to be maintained, as supplies from Medicine Hat, Alberta, require longer delivery time. Deliveries from Abbotsford are made in one day.

The City Engineer and the Purchasing Agent RECOMMEND that the total contract for supply and delivery of vitrified clay sewer pipe and fittings be awarded to Flex-Lox Industries Ltd. at a total cost of \$386,494.80 excluding 5% Sales Tax (\$367,170.10 'local content' price) based on estimated requirements for the next one-year period."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the City Engineer and Purchasing Agent be approved, subject to a contract satisfactory to the Director of Legal Services.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 601.....

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (BUILDING - 1)

BUILDING AND PLANNING MATTERS

A-4

CONSIDERATION

1. 2624 Waterloo Street

The following report has been received from the City Building Inspector:

"On July 17th, 1973, City Council instructed the City Building Inspector to withhold enforcement action for the retention of an illegal housekeeping unit on the lower floor of the building at 2624 Waterloo Street in accordance with City Council's Hardship policy. The building is located in an RS-1 One-Family Dwelling District.

On February 27th, 1975, a petition signed by nine surrounding neighbours was received objecting to the use of buildings in the 2600 block Waterloo as other than one-family dwellings, and in particular, the multiple use being made of 2624 Waterloo Street.

On March 3rd, 1975, an inspection was made of 2624 Waterloo Street at which time it was found that the lower floor now contained one housekeeping unit and two sleeping units, each having the use of an electric hot plate and wash basin in the open portion of the basement. The main floor contained one dwelling unit which was occupied by the owner and the second floor had been completed and altered to provide one dwelling unit. All of the units were occupied at the time of the inspection. The Inspector further reported that a new stairway had been erected to the second floor which projects into the required sideyard.

In an interview, Mrs. Cotsakis advised the Inspector that:

- (a) the second floor dwelling unit had been completed approximately three days prior to his inspection,
- (b) she had been advised by the Department of Permits & Licenses during the month of January 1975 that no alterations could be made to the building to provide additional separate living accommodation.

Mrs. Cotsakis has now filed a new application for consideration under the Hardship Policy. This declaration shows that the applicant is a widow supporting two children, on welfare, receiving the widow's pension and with the rent from the additional units, now receives a combined income of \$698 per month. The declaration further indicates that the applicant has borrowed \$3,300 from the bank for the installation of the suites.

Council may wish to:

- (A) Instruct the Director of Permits and Licenses to withhold enforcement action

or

- (B) Instruct the applicant to discontinue the use of the additional units installed in the basement and on the second floor, thus restoring the use of the building back to one housekeeping unit on the lower floor and one dwelling unit on the main floor

cont'd ...

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (BUILDING - 2)

Clause No.1 (continued)

or

- (C) Refuse the application and instruct the Director of Permits and Licenses to enforce the regulations of the Zoning and Development By-law."

The City Manager submits the foregoing report of the City Building Inspector for the CONSIDERATION of Council.

RECOMMENDATION

2. Cedar Cottage N.I.P. Allocation - Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood Services, 4065 Victoria Drive

The Director of Planning and the Director of Social Planning report as follows:

"On January 8, 1975, the Cedar Cottage Planning Office received a request from the Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood Services, 4065 Victoria Drive, for assistance in making improvements to the existing building. The letter was forwarded to the Cedar Cottage N.I.P. Committee who approved of the request in principle at the regular meeting on January 22, 1975, subject to further study. Subsequently, at its' regular meeting of February 19, 1975, the following resolution was passed:

'That the Cedar Cottage N.I.P. Committee recommend that an amount not to exceed \$5,000.00 be allocated from N.I.P. funds for improvements to Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood Services, 4065 Victoria Drive'

The improvements involve installation of a parking space at the front of the building to facilitate loading and unloading of seniors, improvements in the landscaping at the front of the building to make the building more attractive to the community, alterations to the existing Day Care space so as to meet official standards prior to licensing, and enclosing space at the rear of the building for use of the van used for transportation of seniors and others in the area.

The original estimate for this work was \$6,000.00. The source of this \$6,000.00 was the surplus of the Neighbourhood House 1974 budget. Architectural estimates were originally within this range but have now escalated to approximately \$11,000.00. Neighbourhood Services state there are no other present sources of capital funding.

The Cedar Cottage N.I.P. Committee agreed, then, that N.I.P. funds be allocated to Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood Services to meet expenses over and above the \$6,000.00 presently in the Neighbourhood Services accounts.

It must be pointed out that proper permits would be required prior to work commencing.

cont'd ...

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (BUILDING - 3)

Clause No.2 (continued)

RECOMMENDATION

- A. That City Council allocate funds not to exceed \$5,000.00 from the Social Facilities account of the Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood Improvement Program to Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood Services, 4065 Victoria Drive, for physical improvements to the building and site.
- B. That this allocation be made subject to the issuance of the required Development Permits."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS approval of the foregoing recommendations.

CONSIDERATION

- 3. Kitsilano and Cedar Cottage N.I.P.
Allocations: Consultants

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"Kitsilano: Community Centre Consultant

Over recent months, the Kitsilano Citizens' Planning Committee has cooperated with the Parks Board in assessing some aspects of community recreation in Kitsilano. The Committee has concluded that, in order to ensure an efficient use of the Neighbourhood Improvement Program funds budgeted for community recreation, a consultant should be retained to report on the current situation in Kitsilano.

The Parks Board reached a similar conclusion and at its meeting of March 17, 1975, approved a joint program of study in cooperation with the Kitsilano Citizens' Planning Committee, involving a cost-sharing agreement in the proportions of 50/50.

Subsequent meetings between the Committee, Parks Board officials, and the staff of the Kitsilano Local Area Planning office, have laid the basis for a cooperative program of study and terms of reference to guide a consultant have been agreed to in principle.

Cedar Cottage: Trout Lake Consultant

The questionnaire distributed throughout the Cedar Cottage community in the Fall of 1974 rated the clean-up of Trout Lake as top priority. This was noted in the Concept Plan.

Although the Lake, with its beach, is a popular swimming facility it suffers from serious problems of turbidity. It is proposed, then, to have technical consultants establish the cause of the problem and to evaluate the feasibility of improving the Lake for swimming purposes.

Funds were allocated in the Five Year Capital Budgets Plan to construct a large open swimming pool beside the existing Lake, but if this study does determine that clean-up is possible these funds could then be used to implement the study's recommendations (assuming a successful plebescite in 1975).

The Board of Parks and Recreation has considered such a study and at its' regular meeting of March 17, 1975 resolved that:

'The Board endorse the hiring of a consultant, fee to be paid out of N.I.P. funds, to evaluate possible treatment of Trout Lake.'

cont'd ...

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (BUILDING - 4)

Clause No.3 (continued)

The Director of Planning recommends that City Council approve:

- A. The expenditure from the Kitsilano Neighbourhood Improvement Program budget of a sum not exceeding \$7,500.00 (seven thousand, five hundred dollars), representing 50% of the estimated cost of retaining a consultant to report on the role of the Kitsilano Community Centre in the context of the community recreational needs of Kitsilano. The Parks Board expects to provide their 50% share (\$7,500.00) out of their 1975 Capital Budget, to be recommended to Council.
- B. The expenditure from the Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood Improvement Program budget of a sum not exceeding \$25,000.00 (twenty-five thousand dollars), to retain a consultant to report to the Board of Parks and Recreation and the Director of Planning on the feasibility of renovating Trout Lake for swimming purposes."

The City Manager submits the foregoing recommendations of the Director of Planning for the CONSIDERATION of Council.

RECOMMENDATION

4. 3145 West 53rd Avenue - Development Permit Application #69556

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"Development Permit Application #69556 has been filed by Mr. R. Van Nus for Van Nus Lumber Co. Ltd. to construct a 27' x 42.5' stable for horses in the north-east portion of this site. The applicant also requests permission to keep six (6) horses on this site.

The site is located in an RA-1 (limited agricultural) District. Section 10(16)(c) of the Zoning and Development By-law requires that the keeping or housing of horses is subject to the approval of City Council.

On April 7th, 1975, the Director of Planning approved Development Permit Application #69556 for the construction of a stable for horses in the north-east portion of the site, subject to a condition:

'Prior to the issuance of the Development Permit:

....approval is to be first received from City Council for the keeping and boarding of six horses on this site.'

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT City Council approve the keeping and boarding of a maximum of six horses on the site."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS approval of the recommendation of the Director of Planning.

INFORMATION

5. Demolitions in Kitsilano

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"In accordance with the Council resolution of October 22, 1974, the Planning Department is reporting that an application has been made by Sicherman Construction Ltd., to demolish two multiple conversion dwellings, containing a total of 16 dwelling units, at 2207 and 2225 West Second Avenue (permit #74471).

A Development Permit Application will be made within a week of this date, to construct a 37-unit apartment dwelling on these sites.

cont'd ...

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (BUILDING - 5)

Clause No.5 (continued)

The Heritage Committee reviewed the application, and made no recommendation to preserve the buildings.

The Planning Department also has no objection to raise against issuance of the permit."

The City Manager submits the foregoing report of the Director of Planning for the INFORMATION of Council.

RECOMMENDATION

6. Rezoning Application - 2893 West 41st Avenue
Lot 6 E $\frac{1}{2}$, Lot 7, and AMD Lot 8; Block 9; D.L.2027

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"An application has been received from Mr. R. Romses, Architect and Mr. N. Divinsky, University Building Society, requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-law #3575 whereby the above-described property be rezoned from (RS-1) One Family Dwelling District to (CD-1) Comprehensive Development District for the purpose of:

'constructing a co-operative housing development.'

Site Description

The subject site is located on the north side of 41st Avenue just east of Mackenzie Street. The site is composed of three lots of which the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 6 is an isolated interior lot with no access to any street (see Appendix A). Amended lot 8, located on the east side of the subject site, has a frontage of 68 feet and a depth of 419 feet. The E $\frac{1}{2}$ of lot 7 immediately west of AMD Lot 8 has a frontage of 85 feet onto West 41st Avenue and a depth of 89 feet. The E $\frac{1}{2}$ of lot 6 is west of AMD Lot 8 and north of E $\frac{1}{2}$ 7 and is 89 feet by 85 feet. There is no access to this lot from any street.

The subject site has an area of 17 feet in depth along West 41st Avenue for future road widening. The total site area including the 17 foot building line is 43,520 square feet. The site is presently occupied by Murray Nurseries Ltd., and used for the propagating of plants, some greenhouses, and retailing of garden supplies.

The lands to the north, south, and west are zoned (RS-1) One Family Dwelling District and so developed. To the east, also fronting onto 41st Avenue is Crofton Manor. This one-storey senior citizens development was rezoned to (CD-1) Comprehensive Development District in December of 1972 and restricted to a floor space ratio of 0.45. The rezoning also eliminated, in most part, an irregular subdivision, as West 40th Avenue did not extend between Trafalgar and Mackenzie. The Owner of AMD Lot 8, immediately west of Crofton Manor was requested to include his property at the time of the rezoning and consolidation of the lots now developed as Crofton Manor. This in turn would have left a reasonable sized parcel for future redevelopment at the N/E corner of West 41st Avenue and Mackenzie.* Lot 21, fronting onto Trafalgar, is presently developed with a church.*(See Appendix A-1)

The three lots at the N/E corner of West 41st Avenue and Mackenzie are small in area with a depth of 85 feet and are presently developed with one family dwellings without rear lane access. These lots would be left somewhat isolated and of insufficient size for future development as townhouses on its own.

cont'd ...

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (BUILDING - 6)

Clause No.6 (continued)

Brief History of Immediate Area

In past years, numerous applications and enquiries have been received to rezone properties, including the subject site, for multiple dwellings in the immediate area, including the south side of West 41st Avenue between Trafalgar and Mackenzie. None of the applications have been approved other than the Crofton Manor development.

In April 1974, Mr. N. Divinsky, Messrs. Blackmore and Collier from the University Building Society met with the Planning Department on the developing of the subject site for co-operative housing. Objection at that time was raised to the projection northward of the thin townhouse development and the proposed floor space ratio of 0.60. In September, Mr. H. W. Gray, Zoning Planner, after consultation with the Deputy Director and other senior staff of the Planning Department advised Mr. Divinsky that the Planning Department could not support the proposed scheme of development without the three lots located at the N/E corner of 41st Avenue and Mackenzie Street being included. Objections were also noted to the pushed-in effect of the development, the floor space ratio of 0.60, the orientation of the buildings and the tunnel effect of the entrance to the underground parking. Mr. Divinsky, for the University Building Society, and Mr. Romses, submitted the rezoning application on December 5th, 1974, together with the current proposed scheme of development.

The applicant has attempted to purchase the three lots located at the N/E corner of West 41st Avenue and Mackenzie Street. The applicant has submitted two letters from Mr. L. Groberman, realtor of Fullbrook, Bertram and Brown Ltd., dated November 1, 1974. (See attached Appendix B)

Proposed Development

The Architect's drawings submitted with the application and marked 'Received December 5, 1974' indicates a proposed development containing a total of 19 dwelling units. The drawings indicate a structure three storeys in height and 24 feet above the average grade of West 41st Avenue. The proposed development is sunken two and a half feet below the building grade of 41st Avenue. The second and third floors contain 'penthouse-type suites' of two bedrooms and 'garden level suites' located on the ground floor, also consisting of two bedrooms. Two buildings are orientated parallel to 41st Avenue around an open court with the remaining building parallel to the eastern property line and extending in a northerly direction. A swimming pool and picnic area is located in the northern end of AMD Lot 8. Underground parking is provided for 33 motor vehicles with ingress and egress from 41st Avenue.

Calculations

Site area: 43,520 square feet including 17 foot building line
 40,923 square feet excluding 17 foot building line

Total floor area: 26,199 square feet

F.S.R.: 0.60 including 17 foot building line
 0.64 excluding 17 foot building line

The Urban Design Panel, on January 9, 1975, considered the proposed scheme of development,

'The Panel finds this an attractive proposal but wish to see the scheme opened up by eliminating a number of units on the east side and placing these to the north.'

The Panel is sceptical on the technical feasibility of the scheme with regard to code requirements on fire safety.

The Panel approves of the proposal in principle and wishes to endorse the rezoning application as being appropriate.

Recommendation: That the applicant be encouraged to proceed with his rezoning application and further improve and refine his design while heeding the Panel's comments as outlined above.'

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (BUILDING - 7)

Clause No.6 (continued)

The Director of Planning recommends that the rezoning application be not approved having regard to the shape and size of the site; the close proximity of the buildings to the adjacent single family properties; and the isolating of the three single family homes at the N/E corner of West 41st Avenue and Mackenzie Street.

However, if the applicant submitted a revised or fresh rezoning application that included the three lots at the N/E corner of 41st Avenue and Mackenzie Street and included the 10 foot lane plus additional lands from the adjacent properties, the Planning Department would consider favourably the revised form of development.

The revised scheme of development would have to have regard to the adequate provision of open space, landscaping, orientation of buildings, suitable means of motor vehicular ingress and egress and be restricted to a height not to exceed two storeys and a floor space ratio not to exceed 0.60. In computing the floor space ratio, all floors, whether earth or otherwise, of all buildings shall be included, both above and below the ground.

At their meeting of April 9, 1975, the Vancouver City Planning Commission approved the rezoning subject to the following:

- (a) that the applicant acquire the 10 foot lane dedicated along the west side of AMD Lot 8;
- (b) the height be restricted to 24 feet above the average grade of West 41st Avenue; and
- (c) the floor space ratio not to exceed 0.60"

RECOMMENDATION: That the rezoning application be not approved having regard to the shape and size of the site; the close proximity of the buildings to the adjacent single family properties; and the isolating of the three single family homes at the N/E corner of West 41st Avenue and Mackenzie Street. "

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the report of the Director of Planning be approved.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 597 & 601-3

A-6

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (FIRE - 1)

FIRE AND TRAFFIC MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION:

1. Street Vending

The City Manager submits the following report of the City Engineer:

"On March 25, 1975, City Council received for information, a report from the Special Committee re Street Vending. This report dealt with the positioning of kiosks and a review on an individual merit basis, all kiosks presently in use on the Granville Mall.

This report also advised that the City Engineer had been instructed to investigate means of having the City aid in financing those kiosks which would have to be replaced by June 1, 1975, and continued to describe the City Engineer's proposal whereby the City would assist vendors to spread payments over a 90-day interest-free period. Council approval is now required for this funding proposal.

I RECOMMEND that:

- a) Vendors who employ an approved private company to construct their kiosks, and who request financial assistance from the City, will submit to the City a promissory note for two-thirds value of the kiosk and a certified cheque for one-third of the value. The City will reimburse the company and defer the costs to the vendor. The same financial arrangement will apply to those vendors who purchase the City-constructed kiosks.
- b) The funds shall be interest-free for a period of ninety (90) days with equal payments being made at thirty (30) days, sixty (60) days, and final payment at ninety (90) days.
- c) Ownership of the kiosk shall remain with the City until final payment is made by the vendor.
- d) Purchasers who fail to make their payments on time will be subject to interest on the payments in arrears at 12% (on an annual basis) and the City reserves the right to take back kiosks without notice where payment is not made in accordance with this report.
- e) The form of the promissory note to be to the satisfaction of the Director of Legal Services and the Director of Finance.

The Director of Finance and the Director of Legal Services concur with these recommendations."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS the foregoing recommendation of the City Engineer be approved.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 603

FINANCE MATTERS

A-7

INFORMATION

1. Tender Awards

The Purchasing Agent reports as follows:

"In accordance with Council policy contracts for the following supplies were awarded by the City Manager/authorized City Officials:

- Trucks,
- Automatic Offset Duplicating Equipment,
- Scotchlite Sheeting,
- Policemen and Firemen Shirts,
- Triple Reel Riding Mowers,
- Policemen and Firemen Oxfords & Boots,
- Precast Grave Liners,
- One Only Automobile.

Copies of the details of these Tender Awards are circulated.

The City Manager submits the foregoing report for Council's INFORMATION".

2. MacMillan Bloedel Place - VanDusen Botanical Gardens

The Director of Legal Services reports as follows:-

"On 10 December, 1974, the City and the Park Board entered into an agreement with MacMillan Bloedel Limited wherein the Company agreed to finance, among other things, the construction of a forest education and information centre in the VanDusen Botanical Gardens. The agreement provides that the City will let the contract for the construction work in accordance with the plans and specifications provided by the Company. The Company will also assist the Park Board in negotiating the construction contract. The construction contract is being let by the City rather than by the Company for convenience only.

The Company proposes to invite the following Contractors to bid on the contract:-

- Halse Martin Construction Co. Ltd.
- Turnbull & Gale Construction Co. Ltd.
- Frank Stanzl Construction Ltd.
- Smith Brothers & Wilson Ltd.

The Company has selected these Contractors because it believes that they would carry out the work satisfactorily. This bidding procedure is brought to the attention of Council for its information since it is not in accord with the policy of Council to call for tenders from the general public."

The City Manager submits the foregoing report of the Director of Legal Service for the INFORMATION of Council.

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (FINANCE -2)

RECOMMENDATION3. Investment Matters (Various Funds) January 1975

The Director of Finance reports as follows:

- "(a) Security Transactions during the month of January 1975.
 (b) Summary of Securities held by the General and Capital Accounts.

(a) GENERAL AND CAPITAL ACCOUNT TRANSACTIONS (PURCHASES)

Date	Type of Security	Maturity Date	Maturity Value	Cost	Term Days	Annual Yield %
<u>Chartered Bank Deposit Receipts and Government Notes</u>						
1975						
Jan	2 Mercantile Bank of Can.	Feb 14/75	\$ 1,989,957.06	\$ 1,966,718.00	43	10.03
	3 Toronto Dominion Bank	Jan 6/75	3,502,157.53	3,500,000.00	3	7.50
	6 Bank of Montreal	Feb 14/75	2,526,044.52	2,500,000.00	39	9.75
	Bank of Montreal	Mar 14/75	2,543,595.89	2,500,000.00	67	9.50
	7 Bank of British Columbia	Mar 14/75	1,017,268.49	1,000,000.00	66	9.55
	Bank of British Columbia	Mar 17/75	1,018,053.42	1,000,000.00	69	9.55
	8 Toronto Dominion Bank	Jan 9/75	1,000,191.78	1,000,000.00	1	7.00
	Bank of Montreal	Jan 9/75	500,075.34	500,000.00	1	5.50
	9 Provincial Bank	Oct 31/75	1,071,284.93	1,000,000.00	295	8.82
	Mercantile Bank of Can.	Jan 10/75	2,000,506.85	2,000,000.00	1	9.25
	10 Toronto Dominion Bank	Sep 30/75	1,061,534.79	1,000,000.00	263	8.54
	Toronto Dominion Bank	Oct 16/75	1,065,201.92	1,000,000.00	279	8.53
	13 Mercantile Bank of Can.	Jan 27/75	1,504,890.41	1,500,000.00	14	8.50
	15 Mercantile Bank of Can.	Jan 29/75	1,003,260.27	1,000,000.00	14	8.50
	Mercantile Bank of Can.	Jan 16/75	1,000,000.00	999,760.30	1	8.75
	Bank of Montreal	Jan 16/75	500,068.49	500,000.00	1	5.00
	Bank of Nova Scotia	May 16/75	1,028,178.09	1,000,000.00	121	8.50
	Royal Bank of Canada	Sep 15/75	2,112,246.03	2,000,000.00	243	8.43
	16 Inmat Ltd. (Guaranteed by Banque Can. Nat.)	Jan 17/75	500,120.00	500,000.00	1	8.76
	Inmat Ltd. (Guaranteed by Banque Can. Nat.)	Jan 20/75	1,000,936.99	1,000,000.00	4	8.55
	17 Bank of British Columbia	Jan 20/75	750,431.51	750,000.00	3	7.00
	20 Toronto Dominion Bank	Apr 15/75	1,781,583.90	1,750,000.00	85	7.75
	Bank of Montreal	May 15/75	1,024,417.81	1,000,000.00	115	7.75
	Bank of British Columbia	May 15/75	512,602.74	500,000.00	115	8.00
	Bank of British Columbia	Jan 21/75	1,000,191.78	1,000,000.00	1	7.00
	21 Bank of British Columbia	Apr 15/75	1,526,753.42	1,500,000.00	84	7.75
	22 Bank of Montreal	Jan 23/75	750,082.19	750,000.00	1	4.00
	23 Toronto Dominion Bank	Oct 23/75	1,058,938.08	1,000,000.00	273	7.88
	Toronto Dominion Bank	Oct 30/75	1,060,449.31	1,000,000.00	280	7.88
	27 Provincial Bank	Apr 15/75	2,537,824.66	2,500,000.00	78	7.08
	28 Mercantile Bank of Can.	Jan 29/75	750,138.70	750,000.00	1	6.75
	29 Bank of British Columbia	Jan 30/75	1,750,239.73	1,750,000.00	1	5.00
	Bank of Montreal	Jun 13/75	1,027,000.00	1,000,000.00	135	7.30
	30 Bank of Montreal	Jan 31/75	500,054.79	500,000.00	1	4.00
	Inmat Ltd. (Guaranteed by Banque Can. Nat.)	Jun 13/75	1,795,165.34	1,750,000.00	134	7.03
	31 Bank of Montreal	Feb 3/75	1,000,287.67	1,000,000.00	3	3.50
			<u>\$46,771,734.43</u>	<u>\$45,966,478.30</u>		

SINKING FUND TRANSACTIONS (PURCHASES)

Date	Type of Security	Maturity Date	Maturity Value	Price	Cost	Term Yrs/Mos	Annual Yield %
1975							
	<u>Debentures</u>						
Jan	3 City of Van.- 5 %	May 1/78	\$ 70,000.00	\$ 88.95	\$ 62,265.00	3/5	8.90
	City of Van.- 6 %	Jun 15/80	1,000.00	86.25	862.50	5/6	9.25
	6 City of Van.- 6 %	Jun 15/80	3,000.00	84.50	2,535.00	5/6	9.75
	14 City of Van.-10 %	Dec 16/94	23,000.00	97.50	22,425.00	19/11	10.30
	15 City of Van.-10 %	Dec 16/94	477,000.00	97.50	465,075.00	19/11	10.30

Cont'd

Clause No. 3 Continued:

20	City of Van.- 5 1/2 %	Mar 1/76	2,000.00	97.00	1,940.00	1/3	8.30
23	City of Van.- 6 %	Jun 15/80	2,000.00	89.25	1,785.00	5/6	8.50
	City of Van.- 6 %	Jun 15/80	2,000.00	88.00	1,760.00	5/6	8.82
			<u>\$ 580,000.00</u>		<u>558,647.50</u>		

Chartered Bank Deposit
Receipts and Government Notes

Jan 15	Imnat Ltd.	Feb 3/75	1,200,000.00	-	1,194,714.00	19 days	8.50
	(Guaranteed by		<u>\$1,780,000.00</u>		<u>\$1,753,361.50</u>		
	Banque Can. Nat.)						

(b) SUMMARY OF SECURITIES HELD IN
GENERAL AND CAPITAL ACCOUNTS
ONLY AS OF JANUARY 31, 1975.

<u>Type of Security</u>	<u>Par or Maturity Value</u>	<u>Cash or Book Value</u>
<u>Short Term</u>		
Chartered Banks Deposit		
Deposit Receipts and		
Government Notes	<u>\$42,870,851.62</u>	<u>\$41,715,700.00 "</u>

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the report of the Director of Finance on Investment Matters (Various Funds) for January 1975 be approved.

4. Local Improvements - Special Relief

The Director of Finance and the Collector of Taxes report as follows:

"A letter has been received from a home-owner at 2076 Pendrell Street requesting relief from the proposed special assessment for local improvements to be levied against affected properties for street paving and "mini parks" in the Stanley Park neighbourhood. The property in question is zoned RM-4A Multiple Dwelling, but is developed with a single family dwelling. This property is 45 feet wide by 65.5 feet deep, fronting the street to be improved.

Generally, local improvement charges should reflect the benefit which each property will derive over the life of the improvement, and zoning is taken to be a better measure of a lot's probable long-time use and thus of its probable long-time benefit than is the present development. However, it has been our practise in the past to grant single family residences in higher zoned areas relief if they meet the following three requirements:

- A. The property must be used solely for residential (one or two family) purposes.
- B. The property must be less than 5400 sq. ft. in area.
- C. The property cannot be developed further because of the surrounding area.

Upon initial inspection of this area by the Assessor, it was felt that the subject property did not meet this third requirement as it could have been consolidated with the adjacent property to the south and developed to a higher use. The proposed charges do, however, create an inequitable charge on the subject property when compared with similar single family residences within the same local improvement area. Refer to the report Appendix for previously approved Council adjustments affecting this project.

Clause No. 4 Continued:

It is now our considered opinion, based upon the owner's stated intentions and a further field report from the Assessor, that the impact of the higher zoning on the subject property be adjusted by reducing the estimated annual rate of \$4.49 per front foot to \$2.42 per front foot. The result of this adjustment will be to reduce the estimated annual charge from \$202.05 to \$108.90. This adjustment is to be effective only as long as the subject property is used as a single family residence and is owner-occupied.

Under Section 67 of the Local Improvement Procedure By-law, the City Council (by a two-thirds vote of all members) may give special relief to a property which it deems to be "inequitably or unjustly affected by any special assessment".

A Council resolution will be required, each year, to give this relief and this resolution will require a two-thirds vote of all Council members.

It is therefore recommended that-

Pursuant to Section 67 of the Local Improvement Procedure By-law, the Collector of Taxes be instructed to bring forward, each year, a formal resolution giving relief to the subject property, provided that, the eligibility requirements cited above remain unchanged."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the Director of Finance and the Collector of Taxes be approved.

5. Furniture for new Planning Assistant Position

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"City Council on January 28, 1975 adopted a report of the Standing Committee on Planning & Development on 'Local Area Planning Priorities and Staffing'. This report recommended establishment of two new positions -- a Planning Assistant for the Area Planning Division and a Project Manager for Champlain Heights.

Before these positions can be filled it will be necessary to purchase certain furniture and equipment and funds for this purpose have not yet been approved.

The furniture required and estimated costs, as provided by the Purchasing Division, are as follows:

<u>Planning Assistant Position</u>		
1 - Double Pedestal Desk	\$ 250.	
1 - Chair (Arm Tilter)	142.	
1 - Drafting Table	507.	
1 - Drafting Machine (complete with scales)	385.	
1 - Drafting Lamp (attached to table)	40.	
1 - Drafting Chair	70.	
1 - Electronic Calculator (Adler 815 AD)	100.	
1 - Filing Cabinet with lock & casters	85.	\$ <u>1,579.</u>

Furniture for the Project Manager for Champlain Heights will be the subject of a future report.

The Comptroller of Budgets advises that if this report is approved the source of funding will be Contingency Reserve.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that purchase of the above noted furniture be authorized and funds for this purpose be transferred from Contingency Reserve to Planning Department Appropriation 7308/90 - New Office Furniture & Equipment."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the report of the Director of Planning be approved.

CONSIDERATION6. Pier Group Mural

The Director of Permits and Licenses reports as follows:

"In December 1974 the City Architect was approached by the Pier Group Mural Painting Company on the subject of painting murals on the exterior walls of Civic Buildings.

The Pier Group is comprised of five Vancouver based artists who have worked together for a number of years and who were awarded a grant under the Local Initiatives Program to paint two large murals on external walls of buildings in Vancouver. The Federal Manpower and Immigration Department who manage L.I.P. requested that if possible, the murals be painted on publicly-owned buildings rather than privately-owned. The Pier Group therefore approached the City to enquire if there were any Civic Buildings that would benefit from a mural. They were given a list of buildings that might receive approval and after seeing them they chose several they considered most suitable and made design studies for certain exterior walls.

The two most promising buildings were the Bus Depot Building, 150 Dunsmuir Street and the Health and Welfare Building at 1530 West 8th Avenue. The studies were submitted for sign permits, which they received, following a recommendation from the Planning Department.

The Pacific Stage Lines proved very responsive as far as the Bus Depot was concerned and with donations of paint and materials from the International Paint Company, the Pier Group have now virtually completed the mural on that building.

It is estimated that it will cost approximately \$620 for material, scaffolding, etc. to complete the mural on the Health and Welfare Building at 1530 West 8th Avenue. The Pier Group have expended their L.I.P. Grant for materials and supplies, and it is unlikely that they will be able to have the material donated from the paint company. They are therefore requesting that Council permit a mural to be painted on the exterior walls of 1530 West 8th Avenue and also approve a grant of \$620 for required materials. The design study of the mural is in the office of the City Clerk for the purpose of inspection by Council members.

In view of the pending move of the Burrard Health Unit from 1530 West 8th Avenue to 1060 West 8th Avenue, the Provincial Department of Human Resources will be the only occupant and it is likely that the building will be offered for sale. A condition of sale could include the retention of the mural for a stated number of years.

The following is submitted for Council's consideration:

- A. Approval be given for the Pier Group to paint a mural on the easterly exterior wall of the Health and Welfare Building, 1530 West 8th Avenue.
- B. If Council approves recommendation A.,
 - (i) the Pier Group be requested to obtain donations for paint and material from other sources.
 - (ii) a grant of up to \$620 be approved from Contingency Reserve for the Pier Group if the "Group" can satisfy the City Manager that they were unsuccessful in obtaining sufficient donations.

The City Manager submits the matter to Council for CONSIDERATION.

Cont'd...

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (FINANCE - 6)

RECOMMENDATION

7. Plumbing Inspections - Progress Report

The Director of Permits and Licenses reports as follows:

"On April 2nd, 1974, City Council adopted the report of the Director of Permits and Licenses which recommended that:

- (a) one (1) additional Plumbing Inspector I position be established on a permanent basis and the temporary position be extended to December 31, 1974. This will permit inspections and surveys for:
 - (i) the twin sewer installation
 - (ii) fire sprinkler installation
 - (iii) continuing the cross connection examinations
 - (iv) continuing work re National Building Code
- (b) a report on these two positions and the work achievements in connection with the above, be submitted to City Council in December, 1974.

I. Twin Sewer Separation Installations

One Plumbing Inspector I was assigned to this program full-time. There are approximately 5,700 properties involved, requiring 4 separate inspections. A total of 869 inspections have been carried out in conjunction with the Sewer Branch, Engineering Department. The present emphasis on this work is in the Still Creek area and it is anticipated that this program will not be completed until 1979.

II. Fire Sprinkler Installations

A total of 1,215 inspections were carried out by the temporary Inspector from April to December 31, 1974. The Plumbing By-law was amended on September 10th, 1974, to require that all fire sprinkler installations be inspected under permit and that the cost of inspections be recovered under a revised permit fee schedule. Jobs in progress at the present time include 15 highrise office buildings, 100 rooming houses and 50 apartment blocks. The Fire Warden's Task Force have sent 350 notices to buildings requiring the installation of sprinklers with another 750 notices pending. One Plumbing Inspector I has been assigned to this work but because of the rapidly increasing workload, it will be imperative to have another man in this area.

III. Cross-Connections

Of the initial L.I.P. surveys, 472 have been completed and 1,200 protective devices installed. Of this number, many will require periodic re-inspection to ensure adequate maintenance. The foregoing refers to surveys in the industrial areas. There are many premises in commercial areas where potentially hazardous functions are carried out and should be surveyed. In addition, many plants do alter their buildings or procedure which also have to be reviewed.

Cont'd...

Clause No. 7 Continued:

IV. National Building Code

Inspections of 3,942 sub-soil drainage systems have been carried out this year on all sites where construction or alterations are carried out, however, this is only part of the total inspectional requirement in the City, and considerably more manpower time will be required to complete this program. This work has been assigned to the District Plumbing Inspectors.

In view of the foregoing I RECOMMEND that:

- A. the temporary position, Plumbing Inspector I, approved for 1974, be established as a permanent position, effective March 20th, 1975.

The estimated cost for 1975 is:

Salary with Fringe Benefits at 12%: \$11,805.00
Auto allowance on a regular allowance basis, at approximately \$80.00 per month: 752.00

- B. the workload and staff level of the Plumbing Inspectors be reviewed when the workload of the Fire Warden's Task Force is reduced. Such review to be completed before December 1976."

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the recommendations of the Director of Permits and Licenses be approved.

The contents of the foregoing report have been discussed with the Vancouver Municipal and Regional Employees' Union, the department advises that there is sufficient funding in their 1975 budget to cover any additional cost incurred through this request.

CONSIDERATION

8. CFMM Conference - Resolution

The City Manager submits the following report of the City Clerk :

"Department Heads and Members of Council were asked to submit proposed resolutions for the CFMM Conference. The only resolution that was submitted was from the Director of Personnel Services on the matter of binding arbitration. The proposed resolution is as follows :

'WHEREAS There have in recent years been a number of strikes in Canadian jurisdictions involving policemen's unions, firefighters' unions and hospital unions

AND WHEREAS the services of employees of such unions can be considered essential in the sense that, if they are withdrawn, the consequence of the withdrawal is an immediate and serious danger to the life, safety or health of the general public

AND WHEREAS in the present circumstances such unions, therefore, bring to the bargaining table an implicit threat of potential influence which is disproportionate to that possessed by other employee groups in the community

Clause No. 8 Continued:

AND WHEREAS this influence is such that it may result in salary settlements which are both inequitably high relative to other employee groups, and also represent a considerable drain on municipal treasuries

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the CFMM recommend to each Provincial Government that it pass legislation specifically depriving policeman's unions, firefighters' unions and hospital unions of the right to strike and requiring labour disputes involving these unions to be settled through binding arbitration.'

The City Manager submits the foregoing for the CONSIDERATION of Council and notes that any resolutions must be in the hands of CFMM by May 2nd, 1975.

RECOMMENDATION

9. Fire Department - Staffing

The Agreement recently signed with the Vancouver Fire Fighters' Union has a large number of complex conditions which are placing an excessive workload upon the Department's clerical staff. While much of this likely will be alleviated upon implementing revised data processing systems, the forthcoming move to the new firehall is expected to cause further disruptions in their normal work processes.

To overcome these temporary disruptions, the services of an additional Clerk III is required for a period of six (6) months. The estimated cost of this position is \$5,400 (1974 rates, including fringe benefits).

The Comptroller of Budgets advises that if this report is approved, the source of funding will be Contingency Reserve.

The Vancouver Municipal and Regional Employees' Union have received a copy of this report.

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the above temporary position be approved for a six-month period, effective May 1, 1975.

10. Loan For Extension To Exhibition Racetrack Grandstand and Clubhouse

The P.N.E. wish to borrow money for the subject extension which was approved by Council as a capital expenditure on March 18, 1975. The attached letter from the General Manager of the P.N.E. indicates the method of borrowing intended by the P.N.E. and the authorization from the City Council that the bank requires as security for monies borrowed from them.

The repayment of the loan is shown in the schedule in Mr. Rennie's letter. The bank will provide for complete flexibility in reducing the amount of the loan as and when further P.N.E. funds become available. Any amount of the loan may be paid back at any time without penalty.

The amount required for the Grandstand and Clubhouse extension is \$3½ million. The P.N.E. proposes to provide \$1 million from their reserves, leaving the need for a bank loan at \$2½ million. Using the P.N.E. figures from the attached letter this would require the P.N.E. to pay the bank \$666,000 principal and interest the first year, declining to \$347,000 by the 8th and final year of the bank loan. The Jockey Club's amortization of its share of 72% of the total cost requires it to pay the P.N.E. approximately \$300,000 a year for 17 years. Therefore, the P.N.E.'s net payout would appear to be approximately \$366,000 in the first year of loan repayment, declining thereafter. The P.N.E.'s revenues have been escalating (\$986,000 in 1973) and they have some unallocated capital reserves. Mr. Rennie advises that the money from the loan will not be required for about a year and no more than the actual amount required would be borrowed at that time.

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975 (FINANCE -9)

Clause No. 10 Continued:

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the City Council meet the bank requirement "that City Council authorize that a first charge against the parimutuel revenues to the P.N.E. following the statutory charge in favour of the City against the revenues of the P.N.E., be given as security for monies borrowed from them" to the extent of up to a \$2½ million loan. The foregoing approval would be subject to the conditions approved by City Council on March 18, 1975, in connection with this project. A copy of this Council resolution is attached.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 603-4

Manager's Report, April 18, 1975(PROPERTIES - 1)

PROPERTY MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

1. Acquisition for Knight Street Widening
East 7 feet of Lot 27, Block 1 of Block 18,
D.L.'s 391 & 392; 4349 Knight Street

The Supervisor of Property & Insurance reports as follows:-

"The widening and improvement of Knight Street, including the installation of permanent paving between King Edward Avenue and 33rd Avenue was completed during 1974. To complete the required 80-foot road allowance, negotiations were entered into to acquire 35 seven-foot widening strips, including outright acquisition of two flanking properties. To date, final settlements have been negotiated with all the owners except two.

Negotiations to acquire the east seven feet of Lot 27, Block 1 of Block 18, D.L.'s 391 and 392, known as 4349 Knight Street was postponed pending appointment of an administrator for the deceased owner to effect conveyance of the property to the new purchaser. This was recently completed and following negotiations, the new owners have agreed to convey the widening strip comprising 231 square feet to the City, subject to payment of \$462.00 for loss of land.

The agreement is based on the established rate of \$2.00 per square foot being the basis of settlement with all the other owners in this section.

RECOMMENDED That the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be authorized to acquire the east seven feet of Lot 27, Block 1 of Block 18, D.L.'s 391 & 392 for the sum of \$462.00, chargeable to Account Code 148/7919.

The City Manager RECOMMENDS that the foregoing Recommendation of the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be approved.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 605

REPORT TO COUNCILSTANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL
ON FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION

April 10, 1975

I

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Finance and Administration was held in the No. 2 Committee Room, third floor, City Hall, on Thursday, April 10, 1975 at approximately 1:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

Alderman Volrich, Chairman
Alderman Bowers
Alderman Kennedy
Alderman Marzari
Alderman Sweeney

ALSO
PRESENT:

Alderman Boyce

COMMITTEE
CLERK:

Grace Barden

.

INFORMATION1. School Board Tax Interest Earnings

This matter was to have been considered In-Camera, however, the Committee agreed to consider it as part of the regular agenda.

On March 6, 1975 the Finance and Administration Committee passed the following motion when considering a letter dated March 5, 1975, from the Chairman of the Vancouver School Board:

"THAT the Finance and Administration Committee meet with the appropriate Committee of the School Board to discuss the matter."

The Finance and Administration Committee met with a Committee from the School Board consisting of Trustees Mirhady, Gose and Brown and Mr. J.E. Robertson, Secretary-Treasurer, to discuss interest earnings on the School Board portion of pre-paid 1975 taxes.

Following discussion it was,

RESOLVED

THAT the matter be referred to the Director of Finance for report back to the Committee.

RECOMMENDATION2. Sewerage Facilities Assistance Act

The Committee had for consideration a Manager's report dated April 3, 1975, (copies circulated) re Sewerage Facilities Assistance Act which was to provide grants of 75% of the Annual Debt Charges in excess of the product of 3 mills for construction of sewerage facilities by a municipality or other local area.

Cont'd...

Standing Committee of Council
On Finance and Administration
April 10, 1975

2

Clause No. 2 Continued:

Following consideration and amendment of the recommendations
of the Director of Finance, your Committee,

RECOMMENDED

- (a) The Provincial Government be requested to consider the waiving of the 20 year limit for 1974 and prior years or at least allow an equivalent debt charge cost as though the 15 year debt was for 20 years;
- (b) The Provincial Government be requested to allow an amount equal to debt charges for the funds amounting to \$2,022,673 provided in the Sewer Capital Program from the Revenue Budget;
- (c) That filing of a claim be delayed pending reply from the Provincial Government on the above two requests;
- (d) The Provincial Government be requested to review its formula for arriving at the grant in light of inequitable assessment levels and proposed charges in assessments;
- (e) A copy of this report be forwarded to the Inspector of Municipalities.

3. Carpet Program for City Hall

The Committee had for consideration a Manager's report dated March 26, 1975 giving a summary on a report dated March 13, 1975, from the Director of Permits and Licenses outlining details and costs of carpet programs for the City Hall, in those areas where it is felt to be necessary. (Both reports are on file in the City Clerk's Office.)

It was noted that current carpet policies approved by Council on April 28, 1970, provides carpeting for Department Heads and Deputy Department Heads at pay grade 38 and higher and to Division Heads at pay grade 34 and higher. General staff, supervisory personnel and senior staff in private offices are not normally supplied with carpeting. Council has occasionally made individual exceptions to this rule.

The Committee discussed the carpet program and severe problems being encountered with the 1975 Budget, and it was,

RECOMMENDED

THAT there be no change made at this time in the current carpeting policy due to present budget constraints.

4. 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates

The Committee had for consideration a Manager's report dated April 8, 1975, outlining the City's current budget position and items being appealed by Civic Departments and Boards.

The Budget Review Committee was able to reduce expenditure requests by \$3,601,770 before appeals by Departments and Boards.

Cont'd...

Standing Committee of Council
on Finance and Administration
April 10, 1975

.3

Clause No. 4 Continued:

As at date of report it was indicated that a mill rate of 18.08 would be required to produce \$73,054,382 of general tax revenue to balance the budget for 1975. The foregoing increase of 3.18 mills over the 1974 general tax levy is before any items added back during appeals and before any reduction in the standard of service. Also it is hoped that additional financial assistance will be received from the Provincial Government. The Committee stressed that any additional Provincial funds would be first applied to reducing the mill rate to the taxpayers. A detailed report on the 1975 Supplementary Capital Program will be submitted to the Committee within two weeks.

The Committee heard representatives of the following Departments and Boards appealing decisions of the Budget Review Committee in respect to specific budget items. Details of these items are outlined in a Manager's report dated April 8, 1975, (copies circulated). Of the items requested in the report the following were approved:

<u>Department or Board</u>	<u>Item Appealed</u>	<u>Amount Approved by the Committee</u>
A. Vancouver Public Library Board	(i) <u>Renovations of third floor</u>	\$10,000
	The library Board requested \$23,000 on this item, the Committee approved \$10,000 so that a start can be made on the renovations.	
	(ii) <u>Microfilm Building and Drawing Records</u>	\$600
	(iii) <u>Study Carrel</u>	\$322
	(iv) <u>Card Catalogue</u>	\$275

RECOMMENDED

THAT a total of \$11,197 be approved for the foregoing Vancouver Public Library appeals.

B. Engineering Department	<u>Snow & Ice Control Equipment</u>	\$4,000
---------------------------	---	---------

RECOMMENDED

THAT a total of \$4,000 be approved for snow and ice control equipment.

C. Planning Department	(i) <u>Zoning and Sub-Division Control By-laws</u>	\$5,000
	The Planning Department requested an amount of \$20,000 for this item, but the Committee felt that only \$5,000 should be committed at this time so that a start can be made on reviewing the By-laws.	

Cont'd...

Clause No. 4 Continued:

<u>Department or Board</u>	<u>Item Appealed</u>	<u>Amount Approved by the Committee</u>
	(ii) <u>Noise; Illumination;</u> <u>Colours; Landscaping;</u> <u>Sun and Shade</u>	\$2,500

RECOMMENDED

THAT a total of \$7,500 be approved for the foregoing
Planning Department appeals.

- (iii) -Community Services Centre-
Champlain Heights
-Non Market Housing Study
for the Central Area

RECOMMENDED

THAT consideration of these two appeals be deferred for
one week for a further report to the Committee by the
Planning Department.

- (iv) Planning Department
Quarterly Review

An amount of \$5,000 has
been included in the
Planning Department Budget
to print the Planning Dept.
Quarterly Review.

- (v) Planning Department
Annual Report

An amount of \$1,000 was
included in the Planning
Dept. budget to print the
Planning Department's
Annual Report. The Committee
did not recommend that Council
endorse including \$1,000 in
the Planning Dept. budget to
print the Planning Department's
Annual Report.

RECOMMENDED

THAT Council endorse including \$5,000 in the Planning
Department budget to print the Quarterly Review.

D.	<u>Auditorium Board</u>	(i) <u>Two Only Monitor</u> <u>Speakers</u>	\$1,510
		(ii) <u>Redesign and Air</u> <u>Condition the</u> <u>Vancouver Ticket</u> <u>Centre Office</u>	\$23,000 on the basis of it being recovered in the increased rental.

RECOMMENDED

THAT a total of \$24,510 be approved for the foregoing
Auditorium Board appeals, as outlined.

Standing Committee of Council
on Finance and Administration
April 10, 1975 5

Due to time limitations the Departmental appeals from
the City Clerk and Health Department were deferred for one
week.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:45 p.m.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 608

II

REPORT TO COUNCILSTANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL
ON
HOUSING AND ENVIRONMENT

April 10, 1975

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Housing and Environment was held in the No. 1 Committee Room, Third Floor, City Hall, on Thursday, April 10, 1975, at 2:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Harcourt, Chairman
Alderman Bird
Alderman Boyce
Alderman Cowie
Alderman Rankin

CLERK: R. Demofsky

RECOMMENDATIONS:1. Standards of Maintenance By-law

The Committee considered a Manager's Report dated April 2, 1975, entitled "Proposed Standards of Maintenance By-law".

This report stated in part:

"The Director of Permits and Licenses reports as follows:

'The proposed Standards of Maintenance By-law involved certain aspects of enforcement which I believe should be brought to the attention of the Housing & Environment Committee.

- i. The by-law places responsibility for issuing orders on the Council who must issue such orders by resolution. Presumably the resolution must name an official to carry out the order. All other remedies will be sought prior to submission of a case to Council.
- ii. It could be necessary for the occupants of a house to vacate while work is being done. Presumably, the City would have to house the occupants for that period.
- iii. If it is not deemed necessary to vacate the house there could still be problems if the occupant is hostile. In such case a contractor and/or his workmen might be unwilling to do the work.
- iv. Council would have to state whether the by-law is to be enforced on a complaint basis only or on an organized area basis.
- v. Additional staff will be required even if enforcement is on a complaint basis.

If enforcement is to be on an area basis, the number of staff would need to be determined in accord with the workload. If enforcement is to be on a complaint basis, the staff required to start with would be less.

In either case, the establishment should be reviewed in a year's time and adjusted appropriately."

Cont'd . . .

With regards to No. (ii) above, the Committee agreed that charges for alternate accommodation while the necessary work is being done would have to be charged back to the occupant.

The Chairman advised that this by-law was submitted to the Committee today for familiarization. He noted that other relevant departments should have input into this by-law.

The Director of Permits and Licenses advised that such a by-law could be enforced on either a complaint basis or a door to door inspection basis. The latter method would require extensive additional staff. Also that such a by-law would not pertain to office buildings, commercial buildings, industrial buildings, etc. The Committee's opinion was that although it was not in favour of hiring extensive additional staff, it wanted this by-law to be enforced.

The Committee noted that restoration of emergency services such as hot water tanks, furnaces, stoves, water and fuel pipes, gas service, etc., should be on an emergency forty-eight hour basis. This amendment should be made to either the Health By-law or the Lodging House By-law.

RECOMMENDED,

- A. THAT the City Manager's report referred to above be received.
- B. THAT the Minimum Standards By-law be referred to appropriate City officials, the City Prosecutor's Office, and other interested organizations for comments and report back.
- C. THAT the Director of Permits and Licenses amend the Minimum Standards By-law so that costs for alternate accommodation while work is being done on one's premises are charged back to the owner.
- D. THAT the Director of Permits and Licenses report back on costs related to enforcing the Minimum Standards By-law on both the complaint basis and the door to door inspection basis.
- E. THAT the Director of Legal Services amend the Lodging House By-law with respect to requiring that essential services such as furnaces, hot water tanks, stoves, water and fuel pipes, gas lines, etc., be restored within forty-eight hours.

2. Collingwood United Church

On March 6, 1975, the Standing Committee of Council on Housing and Environment when discussing the Collingwood United Church Senior Citizen Project, resolved,

"THAT the Collingwood United Church prepare design presentations for the public meeting of March 20, 1975, outlining the floor space ratios as discussed today, and taking into account that the Federal Government had recently announced the availability of Section 44 subsidies for non-profit and co-operative housing and report back to a later meeting of this Committee.

Representatives of the Collingwood United Church were present this day and advised that at the public meeting on March 20, 1975, approximately 45 people were shown the proposed sixteen-storey, 150 suite senior citizen project, to be constructed at School Avenue, and Harold Street. Reverend Laing advised that the people reacted very favourably to this proposal, and therefore, the project should proceed.

Mr. LeBlonds, Architect, advised that to make the project economically feasible, a sixteen-storey, 150 suite structure would be required. This would be equivalent to a floor space ratio of 4.0. Such a project would have rental rates of \$135.00 for studio suites.

Mr. Ron Youngberg advised that the Planning Department would support a density of approximately 1.8 f.s.r., but would not support

Standing Committee of Council
on Housing and Environment
April 10, 1975

- 3 -

a floor space ratio of 4.0, which is a higher density than presently exists in the West End. Also that the high purchase price of the land could be partially blamed for the expense involved in this project.

RECOMMENDED,

- A. THAT Collingwood United Church be requested to make formal application for rezoning the site being Lots 3-6, Blk. 37, D.L. 37, from RM-1 to CD-1 for development of a sixteen-storey, 150 unit senior citizen housing project.
- B. THAT upon application from Collingwood United Church for rezoning the above noted site, the Planning Department be instructed to submit comments on design and community interests related to this particular project.

INFORMATION:

3. Housing Site at 2nd Avenue and Wallace Street

The Committee considered a letter from Mr. John Keith-King, Architect, requesting to appear before the Committee to discuss a housing project on behalf of the Point Grey Housing Co-operative.

Mr. Keith-King advised that the Point Grey Housing Co-operative wanted to develop either adult ground-oriented, or senior citizen housing on this site. Mr. K. Emberly, and Mr. S. Donaldson, of the Dunbar Village Co-operative also expressed interest in this particular housing site. They advised that the Dunbar Village Co-operative was interested in helping the community as a whole by providing housing at this site.

Mr. Ron Youngberg of the Planning Department reminded the Committee that this site contained 3.44 acres and that Council had yet to make a decision with regards to the type of housing, selling price, etc., relating to this site. He advised that the Planning Department supported both senior citizen and family housing on this site. The location in regards to transportation, park, beach, etc., was excellent for senior citizen housing. He also noted that it would be suitable for family housing with a density of approximately eighteen to twenty units per acre.

The Chairman advised that this site at 2nd and Wallace was being held for the development of family housing by the City Housing Corporation.

RESOLVED,

THAT the above noted delegations be received and further consideration of the housing site at 2nd Avenue and Wallace Street be discussed in the "In Camera" meeting immediately following.

* * *

The following was considered "In Camera":

RECOMMENDATION:

4. Housing Site for Public Housing Corporation

The Committee considered a list of potential housing sites in the City of Vancouver submitted by the Housing Planner.

Cont'd . . .

Standing Committee of Council
on Housing and Environment
April 10, 1975

- 4 -

It also considered a list of potential housing sites in the Downtown Eastside Area submitted by the Downtown Eastside Residents Association. These lists are on file in the City Clerk's Office.

Mr. W. Casson, Director of Housing, G.V.R.D., informed the Committee of a two million dollar revolving fund provided to G.V.R.D. by the Provincial Government for the development of housing. He advised that G.V.R.D. would be willing to assist the City with front end costs for the development of housing on the sites at the south side of Semlin Drive and the south side of Grandview Highway between Penticton and Slocan Streets.

RECOMMENDED:

- A. THAT the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be instructed to report back on the possibility of locating five housing sites similar in size to the Oppenheimer I site in the Downtown Eastside Area, to an "In Camera" meeting of this Committee as soon as possible.
- B. THAT the Chairman acquire more information with regards to G.V.R.D.'s offer for start up funds for housing development on the sites at the south side of Semlin Drive and the south side of Grandview Highway between Penticton and Slocan Streets.
- C. THAT the list of potential housing sites submitted by the Housing Planner be referred to the Housing Director once he commences work with the City.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:55 p.m.

* * * * *

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 608-9

REPORT TO COUNCILSTANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL
ON COMMUNITY SERVICES

April 10, 1975

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Community Services was held in the No. 1 Committee Room, third floor, City Hall on Thursday, April 10, 1975 at approximately 3:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Rankin, Chairman
Alderman Boyce
Alderman Marzari
Alderman Sweeney
Alderman Volrich

CLERK: H. Dickson

RECOMMENDATION

1. Neighbourhood Pub - 1403 Commercial Drive -
Petition Plebiscite Result

Council on December 10, 1974 passed the following motion:

"THAT the application of Mr. Victor Maglio for a neighbourhood pub at 1403 Commercial Drive be approved in principle subject to the City conducting a referendum of the residents and merchants in a four block area surrounding 1403 Commercial Drive, the cost of such referendum to be borne by the applicant, Mr. Maglio.

FURTHER THAT the applicant must comply with all applicable City legislation."

The Committee had before it for consideration a report, dated March 25, 1975, from the City Clerk (circulated) advising that of 2,335 ballots issued, 1,323 or 56.6% were returned.

The vote was 780 or 59.6% in favour of the pub and 529 or 40.4% opposed. Fourteen ballots were rejected.

The petition plebiscite failed, therefore, not having received at least the 60% majority in favour as laid down by Council resolution of February 25, 1975.

During discussion the Committee noted it has no choice in the matter; that Council's policy is that a majority of 60% is required for approval and a significant number of persons in the immediate vicinity of 1403 Commercial Drive are opposed to a neighbourhood pub at this location.

The applicant, Mr. Victor Maglio, claimed that residents of an apartment building in the 1400 Block Commercial Drive were missed in the enumeration, did not receive ballots, and did not have an opportunity to vote.

The City Clerk pointed out, however, that a subsequent canvass picked up these residents and they were issued ballots and had an opportunity to vote.

Cont'd . . .

Standing Committee of Council 2
 on Community Services
 April 10, 1975

Clause #1 continued:

Following discussion it was

RECOMMENDED

THAT the application of Mr. Victor Maglio to operate a neighbourhood pub at 1403 Commercial Drive not be approved.

INFORMATION

2. Vancouver Native Indian Friendship Centre

The Community Services Committee, at its meeting of March 6, 1975, after hearing a delegation from the Native Indian Friendship Centre, resolved:

"THAT the Supervisor of Property and Insurance and the Director of Social Planning examine the request of the Vancouver Indian Friendship Centre for City land and report back to the Community Services Committee."

The Committee had before it for consideration a report from the City Manager dated March 17, 1975 (circulated) in which the Supervisor of Property and Insurance reported on eight pieces of City owned land in the Downtown Eastside area.

A representative of the Social Planning Department, in a verbal report on the matter, stated Social Planning favours the Native Indian Friendship Centre's plans to relocate to an area frequented by larger numbers of native people than the Centre's present site on 1855 Vine Street. Social Planning feels the Centre offers good services but should address itself more to problems of housing, employment and integration.

Mrs. Marg Cantrryn of the Indian Friendship Centre explained the Centre's activities in these areas of endeavour.

The Indian Friendship Centre expects to raise up to one million dollars for construction of a new Friendship Centre.

During consideration of the Manager's report the Committee noted that only two sites are actually available; (1) W/S Hawks Street between Prior and Malkin Streets, and (2) E/S Raymur Avenue between Williams Street and Malkin Avenue.

Site No. 1 however, has been committed to Strathcona Property Owners' and Tenants' Association (S.P.O.T.A.) for a greenhouse but this is subject to S.P.O.T.A. acquiring Federal funding for construction of its project.

The Committee noted it is unaware of whether S.P.O.T.A. will definitely acquire the Federal funding.

Site No. 2 is now leased to an industry but it may become available December 31, 1975.

It was suggested land at the north-west corner of 1st Avenue and Clark may be available but the Indian Centre delegation replied it is too far from the area in which they wish to locate.

The suggestion was made that the Friendship Centre representatives should meet with S.P.O.T.A. and other Strathcona organizations to explore the possibility of joint use of Site No. 1.

Cont'd . . .

Standing Committee of Council 3
 on Community Services
 April 10, 1975

Clause #2 continued:

The Committee inquired whether the present industrial tenant on Site No. 2 has first right of refusal when his current lease expires at the end of 1975.

Discussion then shifted to the financial side of the matter, it being noted Council does have guidelines for leasing land to non-profit societies and a precedent may have been set by Council's recent decision to sell land to the Italian Folk Society for \$100,000 per acre less site preparation costs. It was also noted that special arrangements were made for a site for the Community Music School.

However, the Chairman noted other ethnic groups do have the economic base to raise capital themselves whereas native people do not.

The Committee considered offering land to the Indian Friendship Centre for one dollar per year lease but concluded it should await further information on the sites available prior to recommending a price.

Following discussion it was

RESOLVED

- A. That the Supervisor of Property and Insurance report back to the Community Services Committee on Site No. 2 (E/S Raymur Avenue between Williams Street and Malkin Avenue) such report to include whether the present tenant has first right of refusal when the lease expires December 31, 1975; what improvements presently occupy this site, and the cost of removing those improvements; the report to also include a list of current rates on leases of City land to non-profit organizations;
- B. The Director of Social Planning to arrange a meeting between the Indian Friendship Centre and S.P.O.T.A. to consider the feasibility of their joint use of Site No. 1 (W/S Hawks Street between Prior and Malkin Streets) and report back to the Community Services Committee; such report to also include whether S.P.O.T.A. will receive the necessary Federal funding for its greenhouse project on this property;
- C. The Director of Planning to examine Sites No. 1 and No. 2 and report back to the Community Services Committee on the appropriateness of these sites for a new Indian Friendship Centre as proposed in their brief of March 6, 1975 (circulated).

RECOMMENDATION

3. Application for Civic Grant: Grandview Youth Recreation Program (Cedar Cottage-Kensington Youth Services Committee)

The Community Services Committee at its meeting of January 30, 1975 passed the following resolution:

"THAT the application of Cedar Cottage-Kensington Youth Services Committee for City funding of the youth recreation program be deferred to the Community Services meeting of Thursday, February 27, 1975 and the Social Planning Department be requested to provide an evaluation of the program for placement on the agenda of the Community Services meeting of Thursday, February 27, 1975."

Cont'd . . .

Standing Committee of Council 4
on Community Services
April 10, 1975

Clause #3 continued:

The Committee had before it for consideration a report, dated April 8, 1975, from the City Manager (circulated) in which the Director of Social Planning recommends a grant of \$18,110.40 to this organization.

During consideration of this matter a representative of the Cedar Cottage-Kensington Youth Services Committee stated it is not satisfied with the recommended amount of the grant; that a further \$5,300 is required to serve children at Lord Selkirk School, the largest elementary school in the area.

A representative of the Social Planning Department suggested that any additional sums beyond the recommended grant should be cost-shared with the School Board.

It was noted this program may be the only recreational program directly funded by the City.

Following discussion it was

RECOMMENDED

- A. Vancouver City Council approve a grant of \$23,410 to the Grandview Youth Recreation Program for the period April 1, 1975 to March 31, 1976, to allow the Program to continue operating at its present level.
- B. That the grant be paid to the Board of Parks and Recreation which will administer the grant, subject to written confirmation by the Superintendent that only the actual cost of fringe benefits to employees of the Program (estimated at 6% of total salaries, or \$945.60) be charged against the Program's budget.
- C. Payment of the City grant be subject to the condition that the program be evaluated quarterly to the satisfaction of the Director of Social Planning.
- D. That the School Board be asked to contribute financially to this program.

(Alderman Volrich is recorded as voting against the above recommendations.)

The meeting adjourned at approximately 4:55 p.m.
* * * * *

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 596, 610

REPORT TO COUNCILSTANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL
ON PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**IV**

April 10, 1975

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Planning & Development was held on Thursday, April 10, 1975, in the No.1 Committee Room, third floor, City Hall, at approximately 7:35 p.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Bowers, Chairman
Mayor Phillips
Aldermen Bird, Cowie, Harcourt & Kennedy

ALSO
PRESENT: Aldermen Boyce & Sweeney

CLERK: M. Cross

RECOMMENDATION

1. Downtown Zoning, West End Zoning, Central Area Planning
and A Development Control Process

In a report dated April 3, 1975, the City Manager submitted a dossier of general reports from the Director of Planning on the above subjects. This dossier is on file in the City Clerk's office.

The Chairman had submitted a proposed agenda. The Committee agreed to follow the order listed by the Chairman when discussing the reports.

A. USING THE 'OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN' FOR PLANNING PURPOSES

Mr. Spaxman, Director of Planning, outlined the reasons for choosing the Official Development Plan form of zoning for the Downtown and West End. Existing zoning by-laws tend to be negative documents describing the limit beyond which development may not be built. The following innovations have been introduced to overcome some of the limitations of negative by-laws:

- (i) The introduction of "conditional" uses: i.e. uses which, under the right circumstances, may be acceptable.
- (ii) The introduction of "bonus" elements: i.e. attempts to provide incentives into an inherently inflexible system.
- (iii) The introduction of discretion (which is permitted under the City Charter) in those sections where uncertainty exists as to what the limits should be: i.e. height.
- (iv) The introduction of a minimum "outright" density and height limit with discretion to apply beyond those minimums.

The Official Development Plan will set out what the City would like to see in the Downtown and the West End. In order to achieve City objectives, some discretionary authority is required and the Official Development Plan would provide the best opportunity to set out the standards of discretion. The zoning by-laws for the Downtown and the West End would contain both regulatory controls and policy guidelines and would consist of three documents:

- The Legal attachment to the Zoning and Development By-law No.3575
- The Official Development Plan which contains regulations for land use, density, height, and other matters such as policy.
- Design Guidelines.

RECOMMENDED

THAT the Official Development Plan be accepted in principle as the approach used for development control.

(Alderman Kennedy wished to be recorded as voting against the above motion)

B. DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PROCESS FOR THE CENTRAL AREA

On December 17, 1974, City Council approved the formation of a Development Permit Board to adjudicate on other than minor development permit applications in the Downtown Peninsula, including the West End, the Waterfront and the North Side of False Creek. It is now proposed to include Gastown, Chinatown, South Side of False Creek and Central Broadway.

Mr. Spaxman described the steps in the proposed Development Control Procedures (copy circulated) which would reduce the total processing time of development permit applications and allow development proposals to become public knowledge at a preliminary discussion stage.

Mr. Spaxman advised that he had received a letter from the Architectural Institute of B. C. indicating that the Chairman of the Urban Design Panel or his designate should be a member of the Development Permit Board.

The Committee discussed the proposed Development Control Process, quoted below, and recommended several amendments.

GENERAL OPERATION

MEMBERSHIP

- Director of Planning (or Deputy)
- Director of Social Planning (or alternate)
- City Engineer (or Deputy)

ADVISORY MEMBERS

- 2 appointees from the Development Industries
- 2 appointees from the Development Professions
- 2 appointees from the General Public

Only the three City Officials may vote on a decision of the Board. This results from the legal aspects of the City Charter.

(See Meeting Procedure - developed because of present legal constraints).

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Advisory Members - Two appointees from the development professions, one of them to be a designate of the Urban Design Panel.

(Mayor Phillips and Alderman Cowie opposed).

APPOINTMENT OF
ADVISORY MEMBERS

Nominations would be solicited by the City Clerk from each group for selection and appointment by City Council:

Professions

- Architectural Institute of B. C.
- B. C. Society of Landscape Architects
- Association of Professional Engineers
- Planning Institute of B. C.

Industry

- Amalgamated Construction Association of B. C.
- Urban Development Institute
- Vancouver Real Estate Board
- Building Construction Trades Council
- Board of Trade
- Building Owners and Managers Associations
- Downtown Business Association

General Public

- Community Planning Association
- Vancouver and District Labour Council
- Community Arts Council
- Junior League of Vancouver
- Any other nominations from the General Public at large; or requests from other groups or associations for inclusion.

TERM OF OFFICE

For Advisory Members:

- 1 each of the 3 categories - 1 year initially and 2-year appointments thereafter
- 1 each of the 3 categories - 2 years

Clause No. 1(B) continued

CHAIRMAN AND
DEPUTY CHAIRMAN

The Chairman and Deputy Chairman shall be elected annually from and by the Board and the Advisory Board members.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

The Director of Planning shall be the Chairman.

TIME & PLACE
OF MEETING

Day: Mondays at either 2:00 p.m. or 7:30 p.m.

Frequency: every two weeks initially.

Place: No. 1 Committee Room at City Hall.

Monday afternoon or Monday evening meetings are put forward as alternatives. For some members of senior staff Monday evening poses some difficulty. No other evening is free of other Civic meetings. Conversely, afternoon meetings may impose a restriction on membership for the appointees. From the standpoint of the general public an evening meeting may provide greater opportunities for participation.

It is recommended that the actual time of the meeting be determined by the Board members (both Official and Advisory).

AREA OF RESPONSIB-
ILITY

Initially Development Permit Applications will be considered for:

Central Area of Vancouver

1. Downtown
2. West End
3. Central Waterfront (Burrard Inlet)
4. Gastown
5. Chinatown
6. False Creek - North and South Sides
7. Central Broadway (Cypress to Yukon Streets)

MEETING AGENDA

A meeting agenda will be prepared and circulated to Board members before the meeting. The agenda will include three categories of development permit applications as follows:

- (i) New applications received since the previous meeting but for which processing is incomplete. Through the provision of this list any number will be aware of, and able to influence the work of processing a development permit application.
- (ii) Development permit applications which have been assessed as "minor" (outright) and which have therefore been approved (or refused) by the Director of Planning. It is noted that a "minor" development permit application can be appealed in the normal manner as described in Section 5 of this report.
- (iii) Applications on which a decision by the Board is required. Summary information on these permit applications, with recommendations, will be circulated to Board members together with the Agenda.

CITY COUNCIL'S
INVOLVEMENT

A development permit application may be referred by the Development Permit Board to City Council.

- (a) for information and/or advice where the development proposed is of particular significance;
- (b) for consideration where significant differences of opinion have arisen among members.
- (c) for consideration where the Board is of contrary opinion to the Urban Development Board.

Standing Committee of Council on Planning & Development

April 10, 1975 4

Clause No. 1(B) continuedCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

- (b) *for consideration where in the opinion of the three voting members there is a significant difference of opinion.*
- (c) *delete (Alderman Cowie against this deletion).*

MEETING PROCEDURE Arising from present legal restraints relative to the City Charter, the actual procedure of the Development Permit Board meeting would be as follows:

- (a) Dealing with all applications in open meeting, including participation by the public and applicants; together with full discussion and advice from the 6 appointed advisory members.
- (b) Following a short recess, the 3 official members would reconvene as the Development Permit Board - in open meeting - and each would vote and make their decision on each application.

The Director of Planning would be the Chairman of this Official Development Permit Board.

This second meeting, while in public, would be without participation from the Advisory members or others present.

POSSIBLE CHARTER AMENDMENT

Recognizing the existing legal restraints on membership of the Development Permit Board; and so that the Advisory members can participate and be voting members of the Board; the City Council may wish to now instruct the Director of Legal Services to seek at an appropriate time, a Charter Amendment to permit Development Permit Board members to be other than City officials.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

That this section be deleted.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

ADVERTISEMENT OF AGENDA

A display advertisement will be placed in the Sun and the Province newspapers advising of items to be considered at the next meeting of the Development Permit Board.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Delete the word 'display'.

ADDITIONAL PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

Where, in the opinion of the Director of Planning or the Development Permit Board, it is in the public interest, an applicant may be required to:

- (i) display a notice of intent upon the site; or
- (ii) place a special display advertisement in the daily newspapers; or
- (iii) instruct the secretary to notify property owners, tenants and/or others in the surrounding area; or
- (iv) any combination of the above.

The cost of such special information will be paid by the applicant. Display notices and/or display advertisements should be based upon a standard format. Details of this format will be prepared, and, in the case of display notices will be in accordance with the Sign By-law.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Where, in the opinion of the Director of Planning or the Development Permit Board, it is in the public interest

- (i) the applicant to display a notice of intent upon the site;
- (ii) delete;
- (iii) the Board would instruct its clerk to notify property owners, tenants and/or others in the surrounding area; or place advertisements in the newspapers;
- (iv) any combination of the above.

The cost of such special information will be paid by the applicant. Notices and/or advertisements should be based upon a standard format. Details of this format will be prepared and notices of intent displayed on site will be in accordance with the Sign By-law.

PERMIT SELECTION

NEED FOR SELECTION In order to ensure efficient handling of all development permit applications, it is necessary to have a selection procedure. Many applications such as:

- minor changes of use
- minor additions
- buildings or uses of a temporary or non-controversial nature
- buildings or uses which are not subject to special consideration in terms of Design or Area Guidelines in the relevant Zoning By-law or Official Development Plan

can be dealt with without reference to the Development Permit Board. As noted earlier all minor (outright) permit application decisions will be reported to the Development Permit Board for information.

SELECTION BY DIRECTOR OF PLANNING It is proposed that the selection between major (additional) and minor (outright) permit applications be made by the Director of Planning. The Director of Planning will have the authority to approve or refuse the minor permit applications. The selection will normally be done by the Development Permit Co-ordinator, who may request specific advice from the individual Board members.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Change (additional) to (conditional).

SELECTION METHOD The selection between major and minor permit applications depends in part upon a subjective judgment on the part of Civic staff. A considerable amount of this type of discretion has been made for many years. It occurs particularly with respect to referrals to various existing Advisory Boards.

Experience, over time, with the operation of the Development Permit Board should lead to an acceptable understanding.

CRITERIA FOR SELECTION Selection of permit applications for referral to the Development Permit Board will be based upon criteria such as:

- whether the building (or use) would, if built, have a significant affect on the established character of the immediate environment.

- whether due to the nature of the proposed use or buildings, traffic implications could arise that would significantly affect the general environment.
- whether the height or density of the proposed building is in keeping with the general building height proposed in its immediate environment.
- whether there are significant buildings of heritage merit on the site or in the surrounding area that could be adversely affected if the proposed building (or use) were to be built.
- whether the design is acceptable or would adversely affect public amenity; in this regard the Director of Planning would take into account advice from the Urban Design Panel.
- whether special public amenities, which could be provided in the proposed building (or use), can be identified and for which a particular bonus or other advantage could be achieved.
- whether any public policy objectives, whether established or potential (such as future transit locations, open space needs), would be affected if the proposed building (or use) were allowed.

Experience would determine the adequacy of these suggested criteria. They would be amended or expanded as experience is obtained.

APPEAL PROCEDURE

APPEAL PROCEDURE

The appeal procedures would be as provided for in the Vancouver Charter.

Appeals would be to the "Board of Variance" and are normally filed at two particular occasions in the processing system:

- (a) Following a decision of the Director of Planning or Development Permit Board.
- (b) Following the actual issuance of a Development Permit (or refusal thereof).

All Appeals may be on approvals, refusals, conditions of approval, relief from some aspect of the By-law.

Appeals may be by Applicants or others.

The Board of Variance normally meets every two weeks and with a week deadline to enable an agenda to be published - the time involvement for an appeal can vary from one week to two weeks depending on the date of filing for an appeal.

The hearing of an appeal is usually completed in one meeting unless there is a request for adjournment or the Board wish to notify certain property owners - in which case the appeal is deferred for one meeting.

It is noted that many "minor" permit applications will be approved or refused without consideration by the Development Permit Board. In the event that such a minor permit application is appealed it will be referred directly to the Board of Variance. The Development Permit Board will be provided with information on such appeals in the normal manner.

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FEES

It is noted that the fees to be basically charged for all development permit applications are under active review by the Director of Finance in consultation with other affected City Departments.

Recommendations on Additional fees; charges for preliminary applications; extensions, etc., will be reported separately through the Director of Finance, in consultation with the Director of Permits and Licences; the Director of Planning; for consideration of Council.

STAFF

- BOARD MEMBERS The Directors of Planning and of Social Planning and the City Engineer, or their deputies, are members of the Development Permit Board.
- SECRETARIAL The office of the City Clerk will provide secretarial service to the Development Permit Board for the purpose of keeping minutes and such other requests as may be made or have already been described.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Secretarial should read Administrative staff. The office of the City Clerk will provide administrative assistance to the Development Permit Board for the purpose of keeping minutes and such other requests as may be made or have already been described.

- STAFF COMMITTEE It has been the practice for many years for an inter-departmental staff committee to meet weekly to consider development permit applications. Departments regularly represented are:

- Planning
- Permits and Licences
- Engineering
- Health

These departments are most directly affected in the Development Control Procedure.

The Director of Social Planning should now also be permanently represented on this Committee.

- DEVELOPMENT PERMIT CO-ORDINATOR The role of the Planning Department staff Development Permit Co-ordinator is seen to include the following:

- (i) to ensure that information provided in any preliminary or complete Development Permit application is adequate.
- (ii) to ensure that the views of all affected Civic departments and the opinion of any Advisory Bodies have been obtained.
- (iii) to co-ordinate the information to be forwarded to the Development Permit Board including the preparation of the necessary "summary" reports on all major (conditional) proposals.
- (iv) to facilitate the involvement of interested members of the Board in the development control process on particular permit applications.
- (v) to carry out such additional tasks as may be requested by the Development Permit Board.

- SUPPORT STAFF Several Civic departments already provide staff support. Most of the work is and will continue to be in the hands of the Planning Department and the Department of Permits and Licences. However, the City Clerk's office will assume responsibility for the secretarial/committee clerk servicing of the Development Permit Board.

Experience over the past few months has shown the need for considerable planning department staff time in analysing and assisting applicants with preliminary enquiries or applications in the Downtown Study Area.

Existing urban design staff together with other Central Area Staff will continue to be involved in the application process - particularly at the "concept" or "preliminary" stages.

The actual amount of work that will develop under the new procedures - including the expanded area cannot be determined with accuracy.

Clause No. 1(B) continued

TIMING

Because of many different factors, the time required to process and complete applications is not completely within Planning staff control.

Factors affecting the processing time include:

- lack of adequate information from applicant at time of submission of application
- delays by the applicant in submitting further requested information
- inadequate drawings from applicant
- lack of sufficient research and enquiry by applicant, from City Departments, before application is submitted
- time constraints in inter-departmental actions and needed clearances:
 - i.e. traffic concerns by City Engineering Department as related to a particular site;
 - problems relating to requested closure of an existing City lane by City Engineering Department.
- possible planning conflicts between development proposals and civic needs:
 - i.e. - GVRD growth requirements;
 - possible public use needs;
 - possible street widening; lane requirements; etc.
- public involvement:
 - i.e. submissions direct to City Council on a particular development proposal;
 - submissions to the Development Permit Board necessitating deferrals of application for further consideration by applicant, deferral of application for further public response, deferral of application for consultation with City Council.
- consideration/consultation with other local area planning boards or advisory groups:
 - i.e. Chinatown Historic Area Planning Committee;
 - Urban Design concerns
 - consideration by Design Panel where design is questioned.

However, many applications can be processed expeditiously, whether in the "minor" category and subject to direct consideration by the Director of Planning or other than minor and needing to be processed through the Development Permit Board.

Time required for processing and issuing "minor" type applications

The attached diagram indicates that many of these applications can be processed, completed and issued from five to ten working days.

Other than "minor"

Without delays occurring for previously stated reasons, a "preliminary" or "complete" application can be processed, and a decision made (but not including issue of permit) from 15 working days onward.

It is suggested that the City Planning Department would monitor the time being taken for this category of applications, and report to City Council through the City Manager.

It will be recommended that an existing temporary Planner 1 position continue to be retained for the next few months pending a further review of the Development Permit Board operation.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

The question of administrative assistance and support staff for the Development Permit Board to be referred to the City Manager for report back to the Committee.

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT APPLICATIONS

ADVISORY SERVICE Advice will be available from the City Planning Department on basic development criteria without payment of additional fees.

There would be little or no plan examination: where appropriate the enquirer would be advised to discuss direct with other City departments matters such as lane closures, Building By-law matters, etc.

PRELIMINARY OR COMPLETE A development permit application can be filed in either "preliminary" or "complete" form but only one application would be needed.

The number of sets of drawings required at the time of submission of any (preliminary or complete) application will be increased from 3 to 4.

PRELIMINARY APPLICATIONS A preliminary application will have sufficient information to identify the general intent of the development proposals. The Director of Planning may request additional information and drawings.

"Preliminary" applications will require payment of a fee.

Preliminary applications would be processed in the same way as complete applications. The Development Permit Board would either -

- (a) advise applicant of changes necessary before any preliminary approvals could be considered;
- (b) advise applicant of basic approval of scheme and what information must be submitted before final consideration can be given;
- (c) refuse application for reasons stated.

Up to a 6-month maximum time period may be granted by the Board to submit information, drawings, etc. to finalize such a preliminary development permit application.

Unless previously finalized, any development permit application shall be void 12 months from date of application.

The Director of Planning may grant an extension of these expiry times - for one occasion for a maximum period of 6 months - on payment of an additional fee.

COMPLETE APPLICATIONS

The Director of Planning and/or the Development Permit Board may request additional information or drawings.

A complete application will either be considered by the Director of Planning (if minor) or the Development Permit Board.

The application may be

- (a) Approved
- (b) Approved subject to certain conditions being first complied with before Permit can be issued
- (c) Refused - for reasons stated.

The same expiry dates and 6-month extension options apply for "complete" applications as for "preliminary" applications.

An applicant may be required to provide information on site construction methods, i.e. design and location of hoardings, pedestrian viewing facilities; such information to be to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning.

Clause No. 1(B) (continued)

FURTHER REVIEW

It is proposed that the Director of Planning submit to City Council a review of the operation of the procedures; including the Development Permit Board - initially after a six month period.

The review to contain the views also of the Director of Social Planning, City Engineer, Development Permit Staff Committee and the Development Permit Board's Advisory members.

After discussion the Committee

RECOMMENDED

THAT the Development Control Process be approved in principle subject to the foregoing amendments, and referred back to officials for final technical/legal drafting and submission to a Public Hearing.

C. THE CENTRAL AREA PROGRAM

Mr. Spaxman advised that the Central Area of Vancouver consists of six commonly recognized parts: Downtown, West End, Downtown Eastside, Central Waterfront, False Creek and Central Broadway. One of the major objectives of the Central Area Division is to provide effective co-ordination of the many development aspects i.e. transportation and development control procedures.

In his report the Director of Planning lists the 1975 Program and the existing staff to be assigned to the Central Area. The report indicates the urgency to accommodate the Central Area Division in the area of the annex to be vacated by another department rather than separate offices. The Director of Planning recommended

- (i) the Central Area Division of the Planning Department be formally recognized;
- (ii) the program for the Central Area as outlined in this report be approved in principle;
- (iii) the position of Assistant Director - Civic Development be renamed to Assistant Director - Central Area, and that a classification review of this position be referred to the Director of Personnel Services; and
- (iv) the City Manager be requested to give priority to the use of additional space in the City Hall annex for Central Area staff now in temporary accommodation at 456 West Broadway and 1020 Nelson Street.

After discussion the Committee

RECOMMENDED

THAT Recommendation (i) of the Director of Planning be approved and that Recommendations (ii) to (iv) be referred to the City Manager.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 10:15 p.m.

REPORT TO COUNCILCLIENTS' COMMITTEE RE SPACE
REQUIREMENTS OF VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT

April 15, 1975

A meeting of the Clients' Committee re Space Requirements of Vancouver Police Department was held in the City Manager's Board Room, third floor, City Hall on Tuesday, April 15, 1975 at approximately 10:30 a.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Bowers, Chairman
Alderman Rankin
Mr. Lorne Ryan, City Manager
Mr. J. McLean, Administrative Analyst
Mr. A. Langley, Assistant Director I/C of
Construction and Maintenance Division
of Permits and Licenses Department
Mr. P. Skrimshire, Construction Co-ordinator
of Construction and Maintenance Division
of Permits and Licenses Department
Deputy Chief Constable T. Dixon
Deputy Chief Constable T. F. Stokes
Police Inspector T. Lister
Mr. B. Cohen, Representative,
Vancouver Municipal Police Board

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. C. E. Carlson, Mr. R. F. Harrison,
Harrison/Kiss, Architects

CLERK: H. Dickson

The Clients' Committee had before it for consideration a report, "Public Safety Building - a Study of Office, Gymnasium, and Parking Requirements" dated February 21, 1975 from Harrison/Kiss Architects.

Discussion opened with the observation that Council has instructed the Director of Planning to make application for a new CD-1 Zoning in this area of the City which, once finally adopted, would make the Public Safety Building, City Morgue, No. 1 Firehall and Courts Building non-conforming uses.

If they remain non-conforming Council could not authorize alterations or additions to these structures without contravening its own Zoning By-law. Council should therefore exempt these buildings from provisions of the proposed CD-1 Zoning to permit any renovations or alterations.

Addressing their report the Architects explained they have been unable within the confines of the existing Public Safety Building to provide the necessary square footage of space recommended in 1974 following a study by Graham, Brawn and Associates.

Since the Graham-Brawn study the Police Department has requested an additional 322 men of which Council has agreed to 120.

The Police currently use 39,200 square feet of space at 312 and 475 Main Street.

Graham, Brawn and Associates in 1974 recommended 59,000 square feet was required to meet immediate Police needs.

Harrison/Kiss can provide 54,000 square feet in the existing building.

Cont'd . . .

However, further study has indicated a 13,000 square foot shortage of space in the existing building.

Projected growth from 1975-77 will require a further 11,500 square feet for a total space shortage, existing and projected to 1977, of 24,500 square feet.

Upon reaching this conclusion the Architects stated, they ceased their plans for the renovations except for the new communications centre within the building.

The Harrison/Kiss report of February 21, 1975 offers options as follows to meet the required needs of the Police Department:

"A. PUBLIC SAFETY BUILDING

1. Renovate the building (lock-up excluded) but reduce the space allocations for various functions to fit within the present building envelope.
2. Renovate the building (lock-up excluded) based upon the full space requirements and provide for space shortages in a new annex as described under Heading 'B'2. or 'B'3.

Approximate cost of alterations - \$2,900,000 to \$3,300,000.*

*Cost estimate from Graham Brawn & Associates Ltd.'s report.

B. PARKING & GYMNASIUM ANNEX

Construct on the site across the lane east of the existing Public Safety Building a new building including:

1. Parking for approximately 130 police service vehicles and 34 motorcycles.
A gymnasium with changing and exercise rooms.
Provision for future expansion to the maximum permissible under Zoning By-Laws.

Approximate Construction Cost - \$1,100,000 - \$1,300,000

2. Parking for approximately 130 police service vehicles and 34 motorcycles.
A gymnasium with changing, exercise and locker rooms.
Approximately 13,000 sq.ft. of space to accommodate present shortage in the Public Safety Building.
Provision for future expansion to the maximum permissible under Zoning By-Laws.

Approximate Construction Cost - \$1,700,000 - \$2,000,000

3. Parking for approximately 130 police service vehicles and 34 motorcycles.

A gymnasium with changing, exercise, and locker rooms. Approximately 24,500 sq.ft. of space to accommodate existing and projected shortages to the end of the year 1977.

Provision for future expansion to the maximum permissible under the Zoning By-Laws.

Approximate Construction Cost - \$2,300,000 - \$2,700,000

FULL COST ESTIMATES WILL BE ADJUSTED ACCORDINGLY IN TERMS OF FULL BUILDING FLOOR INCREMENTS. IF IT IS DECIDED TO INCLUDE PROVISION FOR OTHER REQUIREMENTS OR TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL GROWTH SPACE, ESTIMATES WILL RISE ACCORDINGLY. ALSO, THE ESTIMATES GIVEN ARE BASED ON CURRENT ECONOMIC CONDITIONS. ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE MADE FOR INFLATION INCLUDING CONSTRUCTION WAGE INCREASES AS OF APRIL 1976.

AT A MEETING HELD ON FEBRUARY 7, 1975, THE USER COMMITTEE PASSED A MOTION RECOMMENDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF OPTIONS 'A'2. and 'B'3."

These options recommended by the User Committee will cost up to \$6 million according to Harrison/Kiss estimates.

Harrison/Kiss "concur with the recommendation of the user committee and urge that if these proposals are adopted that the planning of the parking and gymnasium complex be concurrent with the planning of the Public Safety Building."

During discussion it was noted that Council has not committed itself to an extra 322 men for the Police Department. However, projecting this increase in manpower; and taking into consideration the space shortages claimed by Harrison/Kiss it means the cost of providing the required space for the Police Department has increased from an estimated \$3.3 million in the 1974 Graham, Brawn and Associates report to \$6 million or more.

There was agreement that the Public Safety Building should remain where it is but some concern was expressed on whether the existing building is worth spending \$6 million on for renovations.

It was pointed out the building as presently laid out has no market value (i.e. could not be used for any other purposes) but will have some market value if the renovations are carried out.

The matters of public parking in the Public Safety Building area and expansion to the Oakridge Police Sub-Station remain unresolved and are not part of the options offered by the Architect's report.

It was noted:

- A. City Engineering Department is currently conducting a study of parking requirements in the Public Safety Building area;
- B. Consideration has been given by the Police Department to adding a second floor to the Oakridge Sub-Station at a cost of at least \$260,000.

Cont'd . . .

- 4 -

At this point updated cost estimate figures for the Harrison/Kiss recommended option were produced by the Construction Co-ordinator of the Construction and Maintenance Division of the City Permits and Licenses Department as follows:

<u>"Summary</u>	<u>Current Estimates</u>	
1. Public Safety Building	3,910,000	- 4,470,000
2. Parking, Gymnasium, Offices	2,780,000	- 3,355,000
3. Alternative Parking Site	175,000	- 175,000
4. Communications Centre	<u>425,000</u>	- <u>425,000</u>
Total Forward	7,290,000	- 8,425,000
Less amounts included in (1) for Communications area	<u>(-) 314,000</u>	<u>(-) 361,000</u>
TOTAL ESTIMATES	6,976,000	8,064,000
<u>Less funded for Communications Centre</u>	<u>(-) 330,000</u>	- <u>(-) 330,000</u>
Balance of funds required	6,646,000	- 7,734,000
<u>Less unexpended in 445/Accounts Police Renovation</u>	<u>748,000</u>	- <u>748,000</u>
Additional Funds Required	<u>\$5,898,000</u>	- <u>\$6,986,000</u>
	\$6,500,000	"

In conclusion the total cost will range from \$7 - 8 million.

The Police Department, it was noted, has not volunteered any suggestions as to how to cut these costs. It maintains these costs are for minimum requirements.

The suggestion was made to omit the gymnasium and locker room facilities but Police Department representatives replied that Police Officers no longer wear their uniforms home but change at the Public Safety Building.

It was noted there have been indications the jail facility will be taken over by the Provincial Government. However, the Police Department will still require some holding or detention facilities. The amount of space required for this remains unknown.

Consideration was given to endorsing option 'A'2. and 'B'3. (which the User Committee has endorsed) and requesting the Architects to proceed with working drawings for renovations to the existing building only.

The Architects replied they cannot begin work on the drawings for renovations without knowing whether the annex will proceed.

The Committee suggested the Architects could work on the renovation drawings on the assumption the annex will also go ahead.

Cont'd . . .

Following discussion it was

RECOMMENDED

1. THAT Council request the Director of Planning to exempt the area bounded by Main Street on the west, Cordova Street on the north, Gore Avenue on the east, and the lane paralleling Cordova to the south from his application for CD-1 Zoning in the Downtown Eastside area.
2. THAT the User Committee be requested to advise the Clients' Committee of its requirements for:
 - (a) jail space
 - (b) expansion to the Oakridge Sub-Stationand the estimated cost of meeting these requirements.
3. THAT the City Engineer report as soon as possible on public parking requirements in the area of the Public Safety Building and cost estimates of meeting such requirements.
4. THAT Options 'A'2. and 'B'3. of the Harrison/Kiss report of February 21, 1975 be endorsed in principle and the Architects be instructed to proceed towards working drawings for renovations to the existing Public Safety Building only and that costs be kept to the lowest estimates.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 12:00 noon.

* * * * *

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 611

REPORT TO COUNCILSTANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL
ON FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION

April 17, 1975.

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Finance and Administration was held on Thursday, April 17, 1975, in No. 1 Committee Room, Third Floor, City Hall at approximately 12:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Volrich, Chairman
Alderman Bowers
Alderman Kennedy
Alderman Marzari
Alderman Sweeney

ALSO
PRESENT: Alderman Boyce

COMMITTEE
CLERK: G. Barden

RECOMMENDATION1. 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates

The Committee had for consideration the following three reports, (copies circulated)

- (a) Manager's report dated April 15, 1975, re Reduction in Standard of Services;
- (b) Report dated April 16, 1975 from Alderman J.J. Volrich, giving budget recommendations;
- (c) Manager's report dated April 15, 1975, re 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates, outlining the mill rate for general tax purposes, temporary borrowing from reserves, and appeals by civic departments and boards.

On March 18, 1975, City Council approved the following recommendation of the Standing Committee on Finance and Administration:

"THAT the City Manager request each department head to prepare priority lists showing where reductions may be made in the standard of service being provided to the extent of 10% of their budgets as approved by the Budget Review Committee."

The Manager advised that he has reviewed all submissions from department and boards and finds, with few exceptions, that a full 10% reduction in the standard of services does not seem practical and in the case of operating departments such as Engineering and Park Board, would be economically unsound. Also difficulties occur in labour intensive departments such as Fire and Police, whose labour payroll exceeds 90% of their total budget and whose staff complement has been increased by authority of Council within recent months.

Cont'd...

Clause No. 1 Continued:

If Council is prepared to take effective measures to achieve any specific budget reduction, say \$1 million, the Manager recommends that budgets of all departments and boards be reduced by 1% and that each department and board advise the Director of Finance where the reduction will apply in their respective budgets. The Manager stressed that selection of priorities should be done by City Council. To accomplish a 1% reduction would require planning and time to implement. If the reduction took effect for six months of the year, the reduction would in fact have to be 2% for that six month period.

The Chairman requested the City Manager and Director of Finance to consider the minimum tax increase position the City can attain by using reserves to maximum capacity. Their analysis indicates that we would have to borrow \$1,500,000 from revenue surplus and borrow approximately \$1,800,000 from U.S. Premium Liability Reserve Fund, both to be replaced from the expected natural gas revenue distribution to be announced by Premier Barrett. The analysis indicates that we can reduce the tax increase to 16% by this method.

At a tax rate of 17.28 mills, (an increase of 16%, or \$54.00, in the general tax levy on the average single family residence), the budget deficit would amount to \$3,290,218 which could be covered by a temporary transfer from reserves, pending advice on the amount of the Provincial contribution. The Manager's report dated April 15, 1975, gives a summary of revenues and expenditures for 1975, adjusted to reflect a 16% increase in the general tax levy, items successfully appealed by departments and boards to date, and for the transfer of \$3,290,218 from reserves.

Following discussion it was,

RECOMMENDED THAT

1. The 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates as amended by the City Manager and the Director of Finance during budget reviews and by the Finance Committee be submitted to Council for approval on April 22nd.
2. The rate for general tax purposes be set at 17.28 mills, which is an increase of 16% over 1974, as recommended by the Chairman of the Finance and Administration Committee in his report dated April 16, 1975.
3. A sum of \$3,290,218 be temporarily borrowed from reserve to balance the 1975 Revenue Budget, to be replaced out of the additional Provincial contributions expected this year, as per the details included in the Manager's report.
4. Consideration of
 - (a) the 1975 Supplementary Capital Program
 - (b) possible reduction in services and standards of service

be deferred until the amount of the Provincial contribution is known.

5. The Director of Finance be authorized to adjust the 1975 Revenue Budget Estimates, as submitted to Council, for any appealed items approved by the Finance and Administration Committee today, either through Contingency Reserve if the amount is not significant or by an increase in the transfer from reserves.

The Committee heard representations from the following departments and boards appealing decisions of the Budget Review Committee in respect to specific budget items.

Standing Committee of Council
on Finance and Administration
April 17, 1975

3

Clause No. 1 Continued:

Details of these items are outlined in the Manager's report dated April 15, 1975. Of the items requested in the report the following were approved:

<u>Department or Board</u>	<u>Item Appealed</u>	<u>Amount Approved by the Committee</u>
A. City Clerk's Department	<u>Air-Conditioning Unit for Voter's List Building</u>	\$2,500

RECOMMENDED

THAT an amount of \$2,500 be approved to solar film the south and west windows and install a roof-top fan in the Voter's List Building.

B. Planning Department	<u>Community Services Centre - Champlain Heights</u> (\$30,000)
------------------------	--

RECOMMENDED

THAT this item be submitted to the Finance and Administration Committee for consideration in the Supplementary Capital Program.

C. Board of Parks and Recreation	(i) <u>New and Non-Recurring Items</u>	\$25,000
	The Park Board appealed \$325,000 which would bring the total Park Board N.N.R.'s to \$700,000.	

RECOMMENDED

THAT an amount of \$25,000 be approved to bring the total Park Board N.N.R.'s items approved to \$400,000.

(ii) <u>Basic Budget - Two Problem Youth Workers</u>	\$22,660
--	----------

RECOMMENDED

THAT an amount of \$22,660 be added to the Park Board Basic Budget to hire two staff people to work with problem youth, and cost sharing be pursued under the Canada Assistance Plan.

(iii) <u>Supplementary Budget - Two Problem Youth Workers</u>	\$18,000 subject to report back.
---	-------------------------------------

RECOMMENDED

THAT an amount of \$18,000 be approved for the Park Board Supplementary Budget to hire two full time youth workers subject to an evaluation report on effectiveness of youth worker programs, and cost sharing be pursued under the Canada Assistance Plan.

D. Health Department	(i) <u>Overhead Projector - West Unit</u>	\$263
----------------------	---	-------

Cont'd...

Clause No. 1 Continued:

RECOMMENDED

THAT an amount of \$263 be approved for the West Unit at 24th and Main.

- (ii) One Projector Screen \$80

RECOMMENDED

THAT an amount of \$80 be approved for a projection screen for the East Unit at 2610 Victoria Drive.

- D. Board of Police Commissioners (i) Eight Civilian Positions
(\$47,023)

RECOMMENDED

THAT the request for additional civilian staff be referred to the City Manager for further reviews.

- (ii) Uniforms and Equipment for Police Basketball Team \$500

RECOMMENDED

THAT an amount of \$500 be approved for uniforms and equipment for the Police Basketball Team.

- (iii) Rental of Computer Terminal Including Installation \$1,088

RECOMMENDED

THAT an amount of \$1,088 be approved for rental of computer terminal including installation subject to confirmation of approval by the Co-ordinator, Data Processing and Systems Division.

Note from Clerk: The Co-ordinator of Data Processing and Systems Division has confirmed his approval of this item.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:40 p.m.